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I am pleased to share with the public Pennsylvania’s 2023 State Health Assessment (SHA). The SHA describes the health of the state’s population, identifies areas for improvement, factors that impact health outcomes, and assets and resources that can be mobilized to improve population health. The SHA is updated annually and is developed by the Healthy Pennsylvania Partnership, a collaboration of public and private partners dedicated to improving the health of Pennsylvanians.

The data in the SHA suggests both health-related successes and challenges in Pennsylvania, the latter of which are opportunities for impactful areas of improvement.

Like previous SHAs, this one demonstrates ways that health is impacted by social factors such as income, education, and housing. There continue to be health disparities in chronic disease, infant and maternal mortality, substance use, violence, sexually transmitted infections, and many others. This SHA examines inequities by race and ethnicity, socio-economic status, gender, age, education, sexual orientation, geography, and disability. We can use these data to identify people most in need of programs or support to work together to eliminate disparities and improve the health of all Pennsylvanians.

This assessment was initially prepared in 2020 and has been updated annually. As the initial assessment was being prepared, Pennsylvania, our country, and the world faced three major challenges to our well-being: a global pandemic of historic scale; a significant economic recession; and the elevation of long-standing systemic racial inequities to broader shared awareness. While the COVID-19 pandemic has brought health inequities into sharp focus, we know that these inequities long predated current and ongoing crises.

Please reference and borrow from this assessment for your organization’s work to improve health and advance health equity. The SHA was used to inform the 2023-2028 Pennsylvania State Health Improvement Plan and may be helpful to organizations preparing local community health assessments and improvement plans.

On behalf of the Department of Health, I want to thank our public and private partners across the Commonwealth for collaborating to create this SHA. I also wish to thank staff for their continued commitment to the people of the Commonwealth and for working each day toward a healthy Pennsylvania for all.

Thank you,

Debra L. Bogen, MD
Acting Secretary of Health
The Healthy Pennsylvania Partnership consists of a diverse group of stakeholders that include health care professionals, associations, health systems, health and human services organizations, community collaborations, local public health agencies, government agencies, and others. These partners collaborated to identify health priorities, evaluate data, provide insight, and review the methods and content of the report. We acknowledge and thank the following individuals and organizations for their time and invaluable contributions to this project.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stakeholder Organization</th>
<th>Pennsylvania Department</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adagio Health</td>
<td>Montgomery County Office of Drug and Alcohol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Youth Access, LLC</td>
<td>Penn Medicine Lancaster General Health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allegheny County Health Department</td>
<td>Penn State Extension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allentown Health Bureau</td>
<td>Penn State Harrisburg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alzheimer's Association</td>
<td>Penn State Health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Lung Association</td>
<td>Penn State University Office of Medical Innovation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asociación Puertorriqueños en Marcha</td>
<td>Pennsylvania Academy of Family Physicians</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bethlehem Health Bureau</td>
<td>Pennsylvania Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black Women's Health Alliance</td>
<td>Pennsylvania Association of Community Health Centers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Data Roundtable</td>
<td>Pennsylvania Association of County Administrators of Mental Health and Developmental Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Council on Chemical Abuse</td>
<td>Pennsylvania Chapter of the American Academy of Pediatrics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dental Lifeline Network</td>
<td>Pennsylvania Coalition Against Domestic Violence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Devereux Children’s Behavioral Health Center</td>
<td>Pennsylvania Coalition for Oral Health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erie County Department of Health</td>
<td>Pennsylvania Coalition of Medical Assistance, Managed Care Organizations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greene County Human Services</td>
<td>Pennsylvania Department of Conservation and Natural Resources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harrisburg Area YMCA</td>
<td>Pennsylvania Department of Drug and Alcohol Programs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harrisburg University of Science and Technology</td>
<td>Pennsylvania Department of Education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepatitis B Foundation</td>
<td>Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lancaster Osteopathic Health Foundation</td>
<td>Pennsylvania Department of Health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lankenau Institute for Medical Research</td>
<td>Pennsylvania Department of Human Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latino Connection</td>
<td>Pennsylvania Department of Transportation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lehigh University</td>
<td>Pennsylvania eHealth Partnership Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milton S. Hershey Medical Center, Outpatient Psychiatry Clinic</td>
<td>Pennsylvania Housing Finance Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montgomery County Department of Health and Human Services</td>
<td>Pennsylvania Integrated Pest Management System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northcentral Pennsylvania Area Health Education Center</td>
<td>Pennsylvania Medical Society</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Novo Nordisk, Inc.</td>
<td>Pennsylvania Nutrition Education Network</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obesity Medicine Association</td>
<td>Pennsylvania Office of Mental Health and Substance Abuse Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stakeholder Organization</td>
<td>Organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pennsylvania Office of Rural Health</td>
<td>Rehabilitation &amp; Community Providers Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pennsylvania Peer Support Coalition</td>
<td>Schuylkill County’s VISION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pennsylvania Psychiatric Leadership Council</td>
<td>South Central Pennsylvania Sickle Cell Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pennsylvania Psychiatric Society</td>
<td>Springfield Psychological</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pennsylvania Recovery Organizations – Alliance (PRO-A)</td>
<td>St. Christopher Hospital for Children</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pennsylvania Society of Physician Assistants</td>
<td>Staunton Farm Foundation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pennsylvania State Alliance of YMCAs</td>
<td>Temple University Health System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pennsylvania State Nurse Association</td>
<td>The Center for Rural Pennsylvania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Pennsylvania, Perelman School of Medicine</td>
<td>The Health Care Improvement Foundation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of the Sciences, Philadelphia School of Pharmacy</td>
<td>The Hospital and Healthsystem Association of Pennsylvania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philadelphia Department of Behavioral Health and Intellectual disAbility Services</td>
<td>University of Pennsylvania Injury Science Center</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philadelphia Department of Public Health</td>
<td>University of Pittsburgh Medical Center</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philadelphia Mental Health Care Corporation, Inc.</td>
<td>University of Pittsburgh School of the Health Sciences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pocono Mountains United Way</td>
<td>WellSpan Health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychiatric Leadership Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The State Health Assessment (SHA) is designed to offer comprehensive insights on health equity and various health determinants. In addition to the overarching framework of social determinants of health and equity, the SHA focuses on eight health themes and incorporates information related to populations impacted by the health issues under each theme. The eight health themes are: access to care, mental health, substance use, chronic diseases, immunizations and infectious diseases, maternal and infant health, injury and violence, and environmental health.

Pennsylvania’s population has become increasingly racially diverse; therefore, inclusion of diversity will be a focus when creating ways to improve the health of Pennsylvanians. Data from 2020 shows that the population by race was 75% white alone, 11% Black alone, 4% Asian alone, with the remaining being multiracial and other races including American Indian and Alaska Native alone, Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone. The population is considered an aging one, with 18% of the population being age 65 and older. With an aging and racially diverse population, a focus on social determinants is important as 12.1% of Pennsylvanians in 2021 lived below the poverty level, with higher rates noted among Black and Hispanic populations and people living in rural counties. Furthermore, 47% of Pennsylvania’s population spent 30% or more of their income on rent; the highest being in Centre, Pike, Lawrence, Philadelphia, and Monroe Counties.

Addressing barriers to access to care is a key factor for improving the overall health of Pennsylvanians. Non-Hispanic Black adults faced challenges in accessing healthcare, with lower rates of health insurance coverage and higher instances of being unable to access a doctor due to cost compared to non-Hispanic white adults. Similarly, Hispanics also encountered barriers, with lower rates of insurance coverage, more likely to be unable to see a doctor due to cost, and less likely to have a personal healthcare provider compared to non-Hispanic white adults. Oral and Dental health also impacts the overall health of Pennsylvanians. Based on the 2020 Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS), 68% of all adults had visited a dentist in the year before the survey. People who are non-Hispanic Black, have low income, possess less than high school education, and lack health insurance were less likely to visit a dentist.

Mental health is also an important part of Pennsylvanians overall health and well-being, and the prevalence of mental health related issues are increasing. Specifically, the percentage of adults reporting that their mental health was not good for 14 or more days in a month increased from 12% in 2014 to 14% in 2021, with higher prevalence among people with income less than $15,000, and who identify as lesbian, gay, or bisexual. Suicide continues to be a critical public health issue that requires both a comprehensive understanding and immediate attention to be effectively addressed. In 2020, there were 1,686 Pennsylvanians who died by suicide. Suicide rates increased by 5% between 2010 and 2020 with the greatest increase among Black, Hispanic, and older adults.

In addition to mental health, Pennsylvanians also confront the challenges posed by substance use disorders. In 2021, 5,326 people died from unintentional drug overdoses with higher rates among people who are black, make and aged 34-44 years: Black (6.9/10,000), Males (6/10,000), and age 34-44 (9.6/10,000). During 2018-2019, 6% of individuals needed but did not receive treatment for substance use disorder at a licensed treatment facility; people aged 18 to 25 years were less likely to receive treatment services compared to the other age groups.
Chronic diseases including cardiovascular diseases, cancer, lung diseases, and diabetes are also major public health issues in Pennsylvania. Obesity is a risk factor that contributes to the development or long-term effects of many chronic diseases, including cardiovascular disease. From 2011 to 2021, the prevalence of obesity rose from 29% in 2011 to 33% in 2021. The prevalence of obesity was higher among people who were non-Hispanic Black and people aged 45 to 64 years. Moreover, in 2017-2018, 18.1% of children in Pennsylvania were obese. Although tobacco use has decreased by 36% from 2011 to 2021, it continues to be a prominent risk factor for chronic diseases, particularly affecting people with less than a high school education and living in households with an annual income below $15,000. Also, in 2019, 24% of high school students reported the use of electronic vapor products, an alarming impact on our younger population.

In a post-COVID world, a focus on immunizations and infectious diseases is a critical measure of understanding and developing safeguards for public health. Immunizations are a cornerstone for disease prevention and control. Within Pennsylvania, approximately 75% of children born between 2014 and 2017 received the recommended combined seven vaccine series by the age of 24 months. While this measure shows a commitment of families and healthcare professionals to control and prevent disease spread, Pennsylvania faces a significant public health challenge around sexually transmitted diseases. Between 2003 and 2021, both primary and secondary syphilis diagnoses increased by over 700%, chlamydia increased by 43% and gonorrhea increased by 59%. It is again important to acknowledge the racial disparities, as Black and Hispanic people were more likely to be diagnosed with syphilis, gonorrhea, and chlamydia as compared to other races and ethnicities.

Maternal and infant health remains a critical area of concern, demanding comprehensive interventions to ensure the health and well-being of pregnant persons and their newborns. From 2010 to 2021, the rate of severe maternal morbidity (SMM) increased by 69%. In 2021, there were 110 SMM cases among 10,000 in-hospital deliveries with higher rates among people who were Black, Hispanic, and age group 35-55. Additionally, Pennsylvania is working to address disparities in infant mortality. In 2020, infant mortality rate was 5.6 per 1,000 live births, but Black infants were more than twice as likely to die than white infants.

The SHA also took into consideration the impacts of injury and violence. Pennsylvania exhibits significant disparities in homicide rates across its diverse communities. The rate of homicides was 8.3 per 100,000 residents in 2020 and was approximately 15 times higher among Black compared to white residents. The disparity related to homicides was even higher among young Black males; the rate of homicides among Black males ages 15-19 was about 48 times higher compared to white males of the same age range. With an aging population, Pennsylvania also saw an increase in reports of elder abuse. Between 2015/2016 and 2020/2021, Pennsylvania saw an increase of 63% in reported elder abuse.

Environmental impacts including air quality and lead exposures continue to be public health challenges in Pennsylvania. In 2019, 61.2% of the population were living in counties that meet the National Ambient Air Quality Standard for Particulate Matter (PM$_{2.5}$), and in 2020, only 17.6% of children under age six (148,432) were tested for lead. Of the children tested for lead, 2.9% had a blood lead level greater than 5 μg/dL. Non-Hispanic Black (5.7%) and Hispanic (3.4%) children were more likely to have elevated blood lead levels compared to non-Hispanic white children (2.1%).

The SHA provides a comprehensive overview of Pennsylvania’s health status and challenges to improving health. Understanding the health status of the diverse populations and factors associated with the disparate health outcomes, provides an important foundation to the development and identification of priority health issues for the 2023-2028 State Health Improvement Plan.
IV. List of Acronyms

ACEs: Adverse Childhood Experiences
AIDS: Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome
AQI: Air Quality Index
BRFSS: Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System
CD: Chronic Diseases
CDC: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
CHNAs: Community Health Needs Assessments
COPD: Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Diseases
DEP: Department of Environmental Protection
DOH: Department of Health
EH: Environmental Health
EPA: Environmental Protection Agency
FDA: Food and Drug Administration
FTEs: Full-Time Equivalent
GHG: Greenhouse Gases
HIPPP: Health Improvement Partnership Program
HIV: Human Immunodeficiency Virus
HP2030: Healthy People 2030
HPP: Healthy Pennsylvania Partnership
HPSAs: Health Professional Shortage Areas
HPV: Human Papillomavirus
IID: Immunization and Infectious Diseases
IVP: Injury and Violence Prevention
LGBTQ: Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender, and Queer
Medically Underserved Populations
MH: Mental Health
MIH: Maternal and Infant Health
MUA: Medically Underserved Areas
NPL: National Priorities List
PA: Pennsylvania
PEMA: Pennsylvania Emergency Management Agency
PHAB: Public Health Accreditation Board
PHMC: Public Health Management Corporation
PM2.5: Particulate Matter
SDOH: Social Determinants of Health
SHA: State Health Assessment
SHIP: State Health Improvement Plan
SUID: Sudden Unexpected Infant Death
SMM: Severe maternal morbidity
STI: Sexually Transmitted Infections
SU: Substance Use
U.S: United States
WHO: World Health Organization
YRBS: Youth Risk Behavior Survey
95% CI: 95% Confidence Intervals
V. Introduction

What is health? Health is a state of complete physical, mental, and social well-being and not merely the absence of disease or infirmity. Multiple factors play a role in an individual’s health, including genetics, social and economic factors, health behaviors, clinical care, and physical environment.

What is public health? Public health is the science of protecting and improving the health of people and their communities by promoting healthy lifestyles, researching disease and injury prevention, and detecting, preventing, and responding to infectious diseases.

Three key roles of public health:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1. Assessment</th>
<th>Systematically collect, analyze, and make available information on healthy communities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2. Policy Development</td>
<td>Promote the use of a scientific knowledge base in policy and decision making</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Assurance</td>
<td>Ensure provision of services to those in need</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To fulfill a key role of public health, the Healthy Pennsylvania Partnership (HPP) with the Pennsylvania Department of Health collaborated on the development of the 2020 Pennsylvania SHA framework. The assessment has been updated annually since then. This is the update for 2023. A SHA collects and analyzes data to educate and mobilize communities to develop priorities, leverage resources, and plan actions to improve population health.

This SHA includes the state’s population characteristics, social and economic factors, environmental factors, health care information, health risk behaviors, and health outcomes. Health disparities are indicated throughout the SHA to reflect where the needs are greatest. The process of developing the SHA included a systematic collection and analysis of qualitative and quantitative data from a wide range of sources with the active involvement of partners at each step. Qualitative data were collected from stakeholder meetings, focus groups, and a public poll in which open-ended questions were asked. Quantitative data were collected from local, state, and national sources.

The SHA assesses and presents the status of our population’s health through a health equity lens, so that the goal of health equity can be achieved. The American Public Health Association defines health equity as everyone having the opportunity to attain their highest level of health. The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention says that health equity is achieved when every person can attain full health potential, and no one is disadvantaged from achieving this potential because of social position or other socially determined circumstances.

While the goal of this SHA is to be as inclusive as possible, the data available often describes gender of individuals as binary, either male or female. As a result, some people may not be well reflected in those statistics.
There is also a supplemental dashboard that provides critical information on indicators included in the SHA. The dashboard supports the SHA by providing trend data and details of health disparities by sociodemographic factors including age, race/ethnicity, education, income, and geography.

Additionally, citations are provided throughout the SHA to support additional information.

When statistics were referenced from a scientific sample survey with a known uncertainty, that level of uncertainty is presented with 95% confidence intervals (CI). These CI represent the range in which the result will be found 95 times if 100 samples are taken of the same population group. Estimates with wide CI should be interpreted with caution. In this report, CIs are included within the charts or in the dashboard.

The maps present information that is available at the county level. If the data have small numbers, usually less than five, then data are suppressed and indicated by being left blank.

Data in this assessment were obtained from variety of sources including Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS), Youth Risk Behavior Survey (YRBS), National Survey on Drug Use and Health (NSDUH), American Community Survey (ACS), County Health Rankings & Roadmaps (CHR&R), American Health Rankings (AHR), Area Health Resources Files, Pennsylvania Youth Survey (PAYS), Birth and Death Certificate datasets, and Pennsylvania Health Care Cost Containment Council’s (PHC4), and Pregnancy Risk Assessment Monitoring System (PRAMS). The most recent data available from each source is different. This results in different years of data being presented for different metrics.

Different data sources also use different definition for race and ethnicity. Across this document, Hispanic refers to any race, unless it is specified. The definitions non-Hispanic Black and non-Hispanic white are used if the data source specifies these.

Issues and data discussed in the document were identified through a multi-step process that included input from stakeholders and Pennsylvania residents, and a review of current literature.

The SHA is presented in eight themes and each theme includes the following:

- Key issues
- Datapoints to illustrate main points
- Factors contributing to health challenges
- Highlights on especially vulnerable populations
- Hyperlinks for further exploration
- Data sources

Based on the SHA, the HPP have selected priority health issues and developed the 2023-2028 State Health Improvement Plan (SHIP) to effect change in Pennsylvania. Please consider participating in SHIP development and implementation by contacting RA-ship@pa.gov.

References


VI. Vision, Mission, and Guiding Principles

The Healthy Pennsylvania Partnership (HPP) is a multi-sector collaboration that identifies key health challenges in Pennsylvania and works to solve them. Within the HPP there are three initiatives: the State Health Assessment (SHA), the State Health Improvement Plan (SHIP); and the Health Improvement Partnership Program (HIPP).

- The SHA assesses and reports on the health status of Pennsylvanians. The assessment identifies priority health issues and the populations most impacted by those issues, and it considers factors that influence the populations being most impacted. It also describes the wide range of assets that can be leveraged to solve health problems.

- The HPP works on the SHIP to identify health improvement priorities to be addressed in this 5-year strategic plan. The SHIP identifies strategies and targets for addressing issues along with the parties responsible for implementing each strategy.

- The HIPP provides a seasonal newsletter to health improvement partnerships in communities throughout the commonwealth. The newsletter highlights partner and Department of Health programs, health observances, statistics, conferences, events, and various grant opportunities. (To subscribe, send email to RA-DHHIPP@pa.gov.)

Below are the vision, mission, and guiding principles of the HPP, established during the SHA process.

### Vision
Pennsylvania is a place where all people can achieve their full physical, mental, and social well-being in a safe environment, free of inequities.

### Mission
To protect and improve the health of all Pennsylvanians by engaging stakeholders across multiple sectors to understand and respond to the health needs of Pennsylvanians through holistic, evidence-based, and data-informed intervention and prevention efforts.

### Guiding Principles

| Leadership | We drive equitable health improvement strategies across the state using evidence and intersectional expertise to inform our processes. |
| Collaboration | We respectfully partner with members of the community and diverse stakeholders to address the root causes of key public health issues and develop strategies for collective action. |
| Inclusion | We foster an environment where individuals share a sense of belonging and practice acceptance and active listening, so that we may engage diverse populations. |
| Accountability | We value and respect each other’s time, honor individual commitments, maintain transparency, and recognize our responsibility to community and the mission, while being flexible to changing circumstances. |
| Accessibility | We cultivate an open dialogue between stakeholders and the public to share products, information, and data in an accessible and engaging way. |
| Equity | We aim to provide every person, regardless of location, religion, race, ethnicity, sexual orientation, or gender identity and expression, the same opportunities to live their healthiest life and reach their full potential. |
VII. Our Process

The multi-part SHA process was informed by diverse stakeholders and constituents from across the state. The SHA was completed between January and December 2020 and is updated annually.

**Instruments**

**Literature review:** The internal team reviewed 14 SHAs, local health assessments, and community health needs assessments to study common themes, sub-themes, and indicators.

**Stakeholder assessment:** 77 members of the HPP completed an assessment regarding the vision for the partnership, describing vulnerable populations, criteria for selecting data indicators, local and statewide assets, pressing health issues within eight themes (pictured on the next page), and social determinants of health.

**Focus groups:** 68 stakeholders across eight theme-based focus groups discussed priority health issues, vulnerable populations, assets, needs, and social determinants of health.

**Public poll:** 2000 residents from across Pennsylvania answered an online poll about their health needs and community concerns.

**Indicator scoring:** Indicators associated with priority health issues were researched and scored by two independent coders using a matrix of criteria.

**Stakeholder meetings:** Stakeholders at three separate meetings provided input on visual elements of the SHA, methods of report dissemination, selection of indicators, and context surrounding the key health issues.

**Report feedback survey:** Both stakeholders and the public were invited to review the SHA and offer feedback. Their input was integrated into this SHA.
VIII. SHA Themes

The themes presented in this SHA were based on the review of other state, local, and community health assessments. The literature review included cataloging all themes, sub-issues, and indicators included in these reports and aligning them with Healthy People 2030 (HP2030) topics, objectives, and leading health indicators. The most common themes appearing in the literature review formed the core content of this SHA.
IX. Who are Pennsylvanians?

This section describes the diversity of Pennsylvanians in terms of age, race, ethnicity, geography, gender, gender identity, education, housing, and life expectancy.

- In 2021;
  - About one-quarter of Pennsylvanians were under the age of 20 and one-third were 55 and older.\(^1\)
  - Pennsylvania had a larger proportion of its population aged 65 and older (18.2\%) than the U.S. overall (16.0\%) (Figure VIII.1).\(^1\)
  - Pennsylvania's older population increased between 2010 and 2021. The age group 65 to 69 increased from 4.2\% to 6\% and the age group 70 to 74 increased from 3.3\% to 4.6\%.\(^1\)

**Figure VIII.1. Pennsylvania and U.S. Populations by Age Group, 2021\(^1\)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age groups</th>
<th>Percentage of population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 to 9</td>
<td>12.0 PA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 to 19</td>
<td>13.1 PA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 to 34</td>
<td>20.3 PA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35 to 49</td>
<td>19.1 PA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 to 64</td>
<td>19.4 PA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65+</td>
<td>16.0 PA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: U.S. Census Bureau*

Pennsylvania has a racially and ethnically diverse population. One in four Pennsylvania residents in 2020, identified themselves as Black, Asian, multiracial, or other. Eight percent identified as Hispanic or Latinx.\(^2,3\)

**Figure VIII.2. Pennsylvania and U.S. Populations by Race, 2020\(^2\)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Race</th>
<th>Percentage of population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>White alone</td>
<td>61.6 PA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black alone</td>
<td>12.4 PA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Indian and Alaska Native alone</td>
<td>1.1 PA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian alone</td>
<td>6.0 PA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone</td>
<td>0.2 PA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some Other Race alone</td>
<td>8.4 PA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population of two or more races</td>
<td>10.2 PA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>18.7 PA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Hispanic</td>
<td>91.9 PA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: U.S. Census Bureau*
Overall, Pennsylvania’s population grew by 2.4% between 2010 and 2020. The population of white alone residents decreased while Black, Asian, Multiracial, American Indian and Alaska Native, Native Hawaiian and other Pacific Islander, other races alone, and Hispanic populations increased. Multiracial population increased by 226% between 2010 and 2020.2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Race/ Ethnicity</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2020</th>
<th>Percent Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>White alone</td>
<td>10,406,288</td>
<td>9,750,687</td>
<td>-6.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black alone</td>
<td>1,377,689</td>
<td>1,423,169</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Indian and Alaska Native alone</td>
<td>26,843</td>
<td>31,052</td>
<td>15.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian alone</td>
<td>349,088</td>
<td>510,501</td>
<td>46.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone</td>
<td>3,653</td>
<td>4,276</td>
<td>17.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other races alone</td>
<td>300,983</td>
<td>508,531</td>
<td>69.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiracial</td>
<td>237,835</td>
<td>774,484</td>
<td>225.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>719,660</td>
<td>1,049,615</td>
<td>45.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Hispanic</td>
<td>1,198,2719</td>
<td>1,195,3085</td>
<td>-0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>12,702,379</td>
<td>13,002,700</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: U.S. Census Bureau

Minority populations ranged from 2.9% in Jefferson County to 61.5% in Philadelphia County (Figure VIII.3). Philadelphia, Forest, Delaware, Dauphin, Monroe, Lehigh, Berks, Montgomery, and Allegheny, counties have the largest minority populations.4

Figure VIII.3. Percent Minority Population by County, 2017-20214

Source: U.S. Census Bureau
Limited English Proficiency. In 2021, about 12% (1.4 million) of Pennsylvania residents aged five years and older spoke a language other than English at home. Of these, 37.9% (544,019) spoke English less than very well. Spanish was the most common language among people who do not speak English as their primary language at home, making up a significant 44% of the population, followed by other Indo-European languages and Chinese.

Although Chinese speakers make up just under 10% of people with limited English proficiency, the number of people who speak Chinese in Pennsylvania increased by over 44% from 2010 to 2021 (compared to a 29% increase among Spanish speakers, and a 22.8% increase in the total number of people with limited English proficiency). In addition, there are people whose primary language is spoken English but have difficulty with reading comprehension.

The top 10 commonly spoken languages at home other than English are: Spanish (5.2%), Chinese (including Mandarin and Cantonese) (0.8%), Yiddish, Pennsylvania Dutch, or other West Germanic languages (0.6%), Russian (0.4%), German (0.3%), Arabic (0.3%), Vietnamese (0.3%), French (including Cajun) (0.3%), Italian (0.3%) and Korean (0.2%).

Gender. As of 2020, Pennsylvania had a total of 13,002,700 residents. Based on 2021 estimates, 49% of the population identified as males and 51% identified as females (note: no other options were available). Sexual Identity. In 2021, 2% of the population self-identified as gay, and 1% self-identified as lesbian or gay. Moreover, 1% self-identified as transgender.

Population Density. Pennsylvania’s area is 44,742 square miles, with a population density of approximately 291 persons per square mile. Based on the Center for Rural Pennsylvania’s definition, a county is rural when the number of persons per square mile within the county is less than the state average (291). By this definition, of Pennsylvania’s 67 counties, 48 are rural and 19 are urban. About one-third of residents live in rural counties.

Life Expectancy. In 2020, Pennsylvanians’ average life expectancy was 78 years, ranging from 74.9 to 82.5 years across counties. The map below shows significant differences in life expectancy by counties.

Figure VIII.4. Life Expectancy in Years by County, 2018-2020

Source: County Health Rankings
In Pennsylvania in 2020, 155,551 people died; 85% were people age 65 and older. The age-adjusted death rate was 890 per 100,000 residents.\(^{10}\)

There were geographic, racial, and ethnic disparities in the overall age-adjusted death rates. In 2020, across the counties, the age-adjusted death rate per 100,000 ranged from 693.0 in Pike County to 1,100.5 in Schuylkill County. The age-adjusted death rate per 100,000 was also higher among Black residents (1,184.0) compared to white (851.7), Hispanic (739.8), and Asian/Pacific Islanders (436.3).\(^ {10}\)

**Causes of Death** *(for leading causes by age group see Appendix A)*

- In 2020, the top two causes of death were heart disease and cancer (Figure VIII.5).
- Accidents, drug-induced deaths, suicide, and homicide were among the top leading causes of death for people age 20 to 44 in 2020 (see Appendix A). Cancer, heart disease, COVID-19, mental and behavioral disorders, cerebrovascular diseases, and chronic lower respiratory diseases were the top five leading causes of death for people age 65 and older.\(^ {10}\)
- Premature deaths are measured by the number of years of potential life lost before age 75 per 100,000 population. Pennsylvania ranked 25th among states in 2022 in premature deaths.\(^ {12}\)

![Figure VIII.5. Ten Leading Causes of Death in Pennsylvania, 2020\(^ {10}\)](chart)

*Source: Pennsylvania Death Certificate Dataset*

**Overall Challenges and Strengths**

Based on America’s Health Rankings composite measure, Pennsylvania ranked 25th among all states in its overall health status.\(^ {11}\)

- Pennsylvania’s top strengths included high childhood immunization rate, low percent of uninsured persons, low rate of adverse childhood experiences, low percentage of adults who avoided care due to cost and having dedicated health care providers.
- Top challenges included high Black/white residential segregation, high prevalence of insufficient sleep, and housing with lead risk.\(^ {11}\)
The following chart compares Pennsylvania to other states using selected core measures. The 50 states are compared against each other on each of these metrics. Metrics that are in the top of the rankings have negative impact on the overall ranking, and those that fall in the lower half have positive impact on the overall ranking.

**Figure VIII.6. America’s Health Rankings Core Measures Impact in Pennsylvania, 2022**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Negative Impact</th>
<th>Positive Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Insufficient Sleep</td>
<td>Smoking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential Segregation - Black/White</td>
<td>Violent Crime</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing With Lead Risk</td>
<td>Drinking Water Violations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk-screening Environmental Indicator Score</td>
<td>Drive Alone to Work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple Chronic Conditions</td>
<td>Occupational Fatalities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Fluoridation</td>
<td>Income Inequality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequent Physical Distress</td>
<td>Obesity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low Birthweight</td>
<td>Dental Visit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avoided Care Due to Cost</td>
<td>High School Graduation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dedicated Health Care Provider</td>
<td>Fruit and Vegetable Consumption</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlamydia</td>
<td>Primary Care Providers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food Insecurity</td>
<td>High-risk HIV Behaviors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe Housing Problems</td>
<td>Economic Hardship Index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercise</td>
<td>Flu Vaccination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Pollution</td>
<td>Colorectal Cancer Screening</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Health Funding</td>
<td>Fourth Grade Reading Proficiency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-speed Internet</td>
<td>HPV Vaccination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-medical Drug Use - Past Year</td>
<td>Teen Births</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical Inactivity</td>
<td>Severe Housing Problems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preventable Hospitalizations</td>
<td>Food Insecurity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental Health Providers</td>
<td>Chlamydia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low Birthweight</td>
<td>Dedicated Health Care Provider</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excessive Drinking</td>
<td>Avoided Care Due to Cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High School Graduation Racial Disparity</td>
<td>Adverse Childhood Experiences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dental Care Providers</td>
<td>Uninsured</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Premature Death Racial Disparity</td>
<td>Childhood Immunizations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Premature Death</td>
<td>Source: America’s Health Rankings</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Impact on Pennsylvania’s score compared to other states
References

Health disparities persist throughout Pennsylvania and the nation, and COVID-19 has underscored and magnified this reality. Some residents across the state die prematurely and live with a poor quality of life due to social, economic, service environment, and physical environment factors, which are called the social determinants of health. Figure IX.1 outlines examples of the social determinants that cause these harms. Some of these inequities are demonstrated in this section.

**Figure IX.1. Social Determinants of Health**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Social Inequities</th>
<th>Institutional Inequities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prejudice based on race, class, gender, sexual orientation, ability</td>
<td>Distribution of investments, wealth, power</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Key Terms**

**Health disparities:** These occur when individuals in some communities have better health outcomes than others. They are preventable differences in overall outcomes.

**Social Determinants of Health:**
These are impacted by where people live, learn, work, and play. Examples include housing, prejudice, education, and income. They are largely dictated by what resources are available financially and physically, as well as what is marketed.

**Health equity:**
Equity, specifically in relation to health, is a step beyond equality. It ensures all have the opportunity to live their healthiest life, regardless of other factors. Equity reflects meeting people where they are to achieve their full health potential.

---

“A growing body of research highlights the importance of upstream factors that influence health and the need for policy interventions to address those factors — in addition to clinical approaches and interventions aimed at modifying behavior.”

– The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
Approximately 40% of modifiable determinants of health are due to social and economic factors, 30% are due to health behaviors, 20% are due to clinical care, and 10% are due to physical environment. Social determinants of health, including education, socioeconomic status, social supports, access to services, systemic racism and oppression, racial segregation, housing, and the built environment contributed to different health outcomes for Pennsylvanians. Below are a small number of examples of disparities found throughout this SHA.

- Non-Hispanic Black Pennsylvanians were more likely to have financial difficulty paying their mortgage, rent, or utility bills, buying food, eating a balanced diet, seeing a doctor due to cost, and being uninsured.

- People who identify as gay, lesbian, or bisexual high school students were at higher risk of being bullied in school, being the victims of sexual violence, feeling sad or hopeless, attempting suicide, experimenting with marijuana, not using protection during sexual intercourse, being physically inactive, and being obese.

- Compared to white residents, Black residents had higher rates of infant mortality, maternal mortality, low birth weight babies, teen births, and having no prenatal care.

- Compared to non-Hispanic white residents, Hispanic residents were more likely to be uninsured, not have a health care provider, be unable to see a doctor due to cost, and to have greater health literacy challenges.

- Rural counties in Pennsylvania had lower rates per 100,000 residents of physicians and dentists compared to urban counties. Residents in rural counties had lower percentages of babies born with low birth weight and lower overdose deaths compared to residents from urban counties.

- People with lower educational levels were more likely to have financial difficulty paying mortgage, rent, or utility bills, eating a balanced diet, having a personal health care provider, visiting a dentist, and receiving care due to cost.

"It is undeniable that racism impedes our vision of a healthy Pennsylvania for all.”

- Former Secretary of Health Rachel L Levine

The HP2030 grouped social determinants of health into five domains: economic stability, educational access and quality, health care access and quality, neighborhood and build environment, and social and community context. In this section, we will cover economic stability and education. The other components of social determinants of health are covered in the other sections such as environmental health, injury and violence, and access to care sections.
Economic stability is key to overall wellbeing of a community and Pennsylvania faces disparities in measures of economic stability.

**Figure IX.2. People Living Below Poverty Level by Race, Ethnicity and Education in Pennsylvania, 2021**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Percent of population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>12.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>22.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>41.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black/African American</td>
<td>24.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bachelor's degree or higher</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some college</td>
<td>9.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High school graduate</td>
<td>13.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than high school graduate</td>
<td>25.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: U.S. Census Bureau

- As illustrated in figure IX.2, poverty disproportionately impacts minorities and people with lower levels of education.
- Overall, 12.1% of Pennsylvanians lived below poverty in 2021.
- Black and Hispanic Pennsylvanians were three times as likely to live in poverty compared to white Pennsylvanians.
- Lack of educational attainment is a driving force of poverty and unemployment.
- Individuals and families above the poverty threshold may struggle financially.

Based on the American Community Survey 2017–2021 estimates, the median household income in Pennsylvania was $67,587, which is 2.1% lower than that of the U.S. ($69,021). The median household income was lower for Black ($42,431) and Hispanic ($49,305) households compared to white households ($71,844). In 2021, 26% of households earned less than $35,000. Moreover, 16% of families with children lived below poverty.

As shown in figure IX.3, based on 2017-2021 estimate, the percent of people living below poverty ranged from 5.5% in Bucks County to 22.8% in Philadelphia County.

**Figure IX.3. Percent Below Poverty by County, 2017-2021**

Source: U.S. Census Bureau
Figure IX.4. Unemployment Rate by Education, Race, and Ethnicity, 2021

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Percentage of Population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black/African American</td>
<td>11.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>8.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than high school dropout</td>
<td>9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High school graduate</td>
<td>6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some college</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bachelor’s degree or higher</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: U.S. Census Bureau

- Employment does not equate to stability. Stakeholders discussed concerns about the “working poor.”
- In 2021, Black and Hispanic Pennsylvanians were more likely to be unemployed than whites (see Figure IX.4).
- As shown in Figure IX.5, the unemployment rate, based on 2017-2021 estimate, ranged from 3.2% in Snyder County to 8.9% in Philadelphia County.
- The COVID-19 pandemic has exacerbated unemployment, as it jumped from 5% in January to 16.2% in April 2020. Unemployment decreased slowly and in June 2021 it was 6.9%.

Figure IX.5. Unemployment Rate by County, 2017-2021

Source: U.S. Census Bureau

Philadelphia accounts for 20% of Pennsylvania’s population, and 22% of its residents lived below the poverty line.

When asked if there was enough money at the end of a month, half of public poll respondents, said they either had just enough to make ends meet or not enough month.

Across Pennsylvania, 26% of households with children received Supplemental Security Income (SSI), public assistance, or Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP).
Housing

As of 2020, there were about 5.7 million housing units in Pennsylvania, which was a 3.2% growth from 2010 to 2020.\textsuperscript{19} Home ownership was lower among minority residents compared to their white counterparts. The percentage of Black Pennsylvanians who owned homes decreased between 2010 and 2021.\textsuperscript{20}

In 2021, the median gross rent was $1,013, while nationwide it was $1,163. Median gross rent increased by 37% between 2010 and 2021 in Pennsylvania.\textsuperscript{21} Urban areas have more renting, older houses, median gross rent, and housing cost burden, whereas rural areas have more vacant houses.\textsuperscript{22}

\textbf{Figure IX.6.} Home Ownership by Race in Pennsylvania, 2010 and 2021\textsuperscript{20}

\begin{figure}
\centering
\includegraphics[width=0.8\textwidth]{home_ownership.png}
\caption{Home Ownership by Race in Pennsylvania, 2010 and 2021}
\end{figure}

\textit{Source: U.S. Census Bureau}

\begin{itemize}
  \item \textbf{69\% of housing units were owner occupied}\textsuperscript{23}
  \item Based on 2017-2021 estimate, 47\% of Pennsylvania population spent 30\% or more of their income on rent; the highest being in Centre, Pike, Lawrence, Philadelphia, and Monroe counties.\textsuperscript{24}
  \item In 2020, 13,375 people experienced homelessness on any given day (8 of every 10,000 people).\textsuperscript{25,26} About 52\% (6,793) of the homeless individuals were Black. Moreover, majority were severely mentally ill (3,221) or with chronic substance use (2,393).
\end{itemize}
In 2021, 35% of Pennsylvania residents age 25 and older had a bachelor’s degree or higher, and 8% had not completed high school. The percentage of people having a bachelor’s degree or higher increased between 2010 and 2021, while the percentage of people who are high school graduates or the equivalent decreased in all race and ethnic groups.

As shown in figure IX.8, the percentage of adults aged 25 and older with a bachelor’s degree or higher varied greatly by county. Chester, Montgomery, Centre, Allegheny, and Bucks counties had the highest percentages of adults aged 25 and older with a bachelor’s degree or higher. Moreover, smaller percentage of Black (77%) and Hispanic (76%) students graduated with a regular high school diploma within four years of starting ninth grade compared to white students (91%).

Source: U.S. Census Bureau
Food insecurity, defined as the household economic and social condition of limited or uncertain access to adequate food, is an important social determinant of health that varies by county.

Food insecurity increased in Pennsylvania because of the COVID-19 pandemic. In 2020, more than 1.77 million Pennsylvanians experienced food insecurity, including more than 347,000 children (<18 years). According to Feeding America, in 2020, food insecurity was 8.9% in Pennsylvania. Chester, Montgomery, and Bucks counties were the most food secure counties, while Philadelphia, Fayette, and Cameron were the most food insecure counties (Figure IX.9). Difficulty finding resource information, job loss, socioeconomic status, transportation, and isolation, are some of the reasons for the increased food insecurity in Pennsylvania.

Additional social determinants include childhood experiences. Adverse Childhood Experiences (ACEs) include emotional abuse, physical abuse, sexual abuse, intimate partner violence, household substance misuse, household mental illness, parental separation or divorce, and incarcerated household member.

These ACEs have been associated with health problems that can include obesity, diabetes, depression, suicide attempts, sexually transmitted diseases, heart disease, cancer, stroke, chronic obstructive pulmonary diseases, and broken bones. ACEs have been shown to affect health behaviors including tobacco use, alcohol use, and drug use. ACEs can impact life expectancy through reduced education achievement and lost time from work.
In Pennsylvania, individuals with higher ACE scores were more likely to indicate they have fair or poor general health and higher prevalence of cardiovascular problems.  

- In a 2019 survey, an estimated 50% of Pennsylvania adults reported having experienced one or more ACE.  

- In Pennsylvania, non-Hispanic Black adults and Hispanic adults were three times more likely during childhood to have lived with someone who served time or was sentenced to serve time in a prison, jail, or other correctional facility than non-Hispanic white adults.  

- Non-Hispanic Black adults, and Hispanic adults were two times more likely to have had parents who were divorced or separated.

“We have been talking about the social determinants of health for a decade. We need to take action to chip away at all of these aspects that contribute to it — racism, poverty — they are all intertwined.”

- Focus group participant
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XI. Connections Across SHA Themes

In the pages that follow, the core themes of the SHA are explored in depth. During the SHA development, stakeholders of diverse experiences and expertise aligned on several cross-theme concepts. These connections are in addition to social determinants of health and health equity discussed on previous pages.

1. Access
Access impacts all themes and relates not only to accessing health providers, health centers, and information, but also to accessing essentials, such as jobs, food, transportation.

2. Integration
Advancing whole-person care, breaking down silos between systems, coordinating efforts, and integrating mental and physical health are top priorities.

3. Mental Health
Mental health issues cut across all themes, ranging from access to care, to isolation and desperation exacerbated by COVID-19, to trauma, to the need for more minority providers.

4. Prevention
There is a strong need to apply a prevention framework to mental health, substance use, and violence in the same way it is applied to physical health. Involving families and building on social-emotional learning are important parts of this preventative work.

5. Revamping Service Delivery
Service delivery can be improved in a wide variety of ways, for example through telehealth, community health workers, schools as community hubs, and increased data tracking and sharing.

6. Stigma and Bias
Stigma prevents many people from getting the care they need, and provider biases need to be addressed to improve health care.

7. Acting on Social Determinants
It is essential to address the root causes of social determinants of health, specifically racism and structural inequities.
Access to Care

Access to care is a cross-cutting topic, affecting all themes related to the health of Pennsylvanians. This section addresses barriers to accessing care, such as insurance status and the number of adults without a personal health care provider. It examines challenges in accessing dental care and recognizes the importance of oral health care. There is a focus on geographic implications for residents, including shortages of providers, transportation barriers, and access to care in rural communities. This section also looks at health literacy and provider cultural humility as issues impacting access. Access issues for individuals with disabilities and other challenges are presented.

Data shared in this section are primarily from 2017 to 2022.
Pennsylvanians experience barriers in accessing care due to cost and insurance issues.

Lack of health insurance is a major barrier to accessing care and is linked to worse health outcomes and higher costs of care. Overall in 2020, 10% of Pennsylvania’s population aged 18-64 was uninsured with higher rates being among people of Hispanic ethnicity and people with less than high school education.

Having health insurance does not necessarily mean that people can access care. Insurance policy limitations regarding provider networks and covered services, provider availability, and provider acceptance of various insurance plans vary across the state.

Some residents are underinsured, meaning they have deductibles, co-pays, and other health care costs that are too high relative to their income. This financial burden can prevent people from accessing the care they need. In addition, network adequacy which is a health insurance plan’s ability to deliver the benefits promised by providing reasonable access to a sufficient number of in-network providers, is another factor affecting patient access to health care.

In 2021, six counties had only one insurer participating in the Affordable Care Act marketplace (McKean, Venango, Mercer, Lawrence, Indiana, Bedford), 28 counties had two insurers, and 33 counties were served by three or more insurers.

In 2021, 11% of adults did not have a personal health care provider with higher prevalence among people who are males, non-Hispanic Black, Hispanic, non-Hispanic Asian, lesbian gay or bisexual, have less than high school education, and have no primary source of health insurance.

There were also racial and ethnic disparities for access. Compared to non-Hispanic white and non-Hispanic Black residents, Hispanic residents were more likely to be uninsured, not have a health care provider, and be unable to see a doctor due to cost. Access disparities are shown below in figure AC.1.

Figure AC.1. Access to Care Indicators by Race and Ethnicity, 2020, 2021

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentage of population</th>
<th>All population</th>
<th>White^</th>
<th>Black^</th>
<th>Hispanic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No personal health care provider</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unable to see a doctor due to cost</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uninsured (age 18-64)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

^ Non-Hispanic

Source: BRFSS
Stakeholders highlighted the need for dentists especially among Medicaid recipients.

- In 2020, 68% of all adults had visited a dentist in the past year. Only 46% of people with household incomes less than $15,000 visited a dentist, while 79% of people with household incomes $75,000 or greater had a dentist visit. Similarly, only 47% of people with less-than-high-school education visited a dentist, while 79% of people with a college degree had a dentist visit.

- In 2021, there were 6,538 dentists practicing direct patient care who responded to the Pennsylvania re-licensure survey. Only 25% of these dentists reported that they accepted patients with Medicaid. Possible reasons for the low acceptance of Medicaid by dentists include a low reimbursement schedule, minimal coverage for procedures, and lengthy registration process. The rate of dentists in direct practice varies across counties from 13/100,000 to 126/100,000 population (figure AC.2).

![Figure AC.2. Dentists in Direct Practice per 100,000 Population, 2021](image)

Source: Dentist Health Care Workforce Re-Licensure Survey

Pennsylvania has a relatively higher ratio of paramedics, nurse practitioners, and registered nurses to the population compared to the national average. However, it's important to note that these healthcare professionals are not evenly distributed across the state.
Many individuals face barriers to care based on where they live.

Health Professional Shortage Areas (HPSAs) indicate geographic areas and population groups experiencing a shortage of health care professionals and facilities in primary care, dental health, or mental health.

In 2022, about two million Pennsylvanians (16%) were living in dental HPSAs or mental health care HPSAs, and half a million (4%) were living in primary care HPSAs. To remove these designations, an additional 337 dentists, 118 mental health professionals, and 109 primary care physicians would be needed.\(^7\)

Medically Underserved Areas (MUA) or Medically Underserved Populations (MUP) are areas or populations with a shortage of health care services. In 2018, Pennsylvania had 143 MUAs and 13 MUPs.\(^8\)

Based on the 2020 Physician Health Care Workforce Re-Licensure Survey, there were 91 primary care physicians in direct patient practice per 100,000 population in the state. The response rate of the survey was 70%, so this rate could be an underestimate.

### Figure AC.3: Primary Care Physicians in Direct Practice per 100,000 Population, 2020\(^9\)

Access to public transportation can improve health outcomes, reduce health disparities, and increase healthcare access to communities.

- Of workers 16 years and over, 2.8% used public transportation to commute to work in 2021, which is lower than the HP 2030 national goal (5.3%). Moreover, 66.8% drove alone, 7.0% carpooled, and 2.9% walked to work. Working from home increased due to the pandemic, 18.7% were working from home in 2021.\(^10\)

- Pennsylvanians with disabilities and people age 65 and older are eligible to apply for transportation assistance programs. Pennsylvania Department of Transportation published a [map](https://www.dot.state.pa.us/moreinfo/travelinfo/medassistance) that shows five types of public transportation options that include fixed bus routes, intercity passenger bus, medical assistance transportation, passenger rail, and Shared-Ride/Demand Response.
In 2020, there were lower rates per 100,000 residents of primary care physicians (refer to Figure AC.3 for the county breakdown), dentists, mental health providers, and nurse practitioners in rural Pennsylvania compared to urban areas.\textsuperscript{5-9}

Telehealth is a growing tool to potentially address some access to care barriers. Lack of access to high-speed broadband is a barrier to expanding telehealth utilization. In 2021, 89\% of households in Pennsylvania had internet subscription and 93.2\% of households had one or more types of computing devices. Internet subscription varies based on income; only 71\% of households with income <$20,000 had broadband internet subscription compared to 96\% of households with income>$75,000.\textsuperscript{11} Still, older adults and rural residents had lower access to broadband.\textsuperscript{12,13} The state received funding to increase access to high-speed broadband infrastructure to underserved areas and this could help to enhance the quality of life for residents.

The very normal situation of having to wait six months to see a psychiatrist. That is the first thing that comes to mind.”

– Focus group participant

Based on Medicaid data, telehealth claims increased from 2015 to 2019 with a larger jump in 2020. The top three telehealth services in 2020 were outpatient office/clinic visits, outpatient psychotherapy, and speech language therapy.\textsuperscript{14}

Health literacy and the cultural humility of providers add other challenges to accessing care.

Due to varying levels of health literacy, some patients do not get the health information they need. About 6\% of Pennsylvanians reported having difficulty understanding information from health professionals. Challenges with health literacy were more common among people with household incomes below $15,000 (10\%), $15,000-24,999 (12\%) and people with less than a high school education (13\%) but did not vary significantly with age.\textsuperscript{1}

Language barriers, including lack of Sign Language interpretation services, can also prevent understanding of medical terms and impede people’s ability to navigate care. Providers carry various levels of implicit bias, which impacts their delivery of care.\textsuperscript{15} Stakeholders point out that providers may use stigmatizing language that can alienate patients.\textsuperscript{16}

The 2022 Pennsylvania lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, and queer (LGBTQ) Health Need Assessment reported that LGBTQ individuals believed health care providers lack medical expertise related to their health needs. It also found that one in three of the respondents feared seeking health care services because of past or potential negative reactions from health care providers.\textsuperscript{17}

When public poll respondents were asked what barriers prior to COVID-19 led to difficulty seeing a provider regularly, the most common responses included: cost of care, lack of time, health insurance challenges, delays, wait times, and fear.

On a day-to-day basis, most people don’t understand the difference between a deductible and a co-pay, let alone the complexities of medical issues. I think we need to level the playing field by providing better initiatives around health literacy.”

– Focus group participant
Older Pennsylvanians and individuals with disabilities have additional factors to navigate, often facing further challenges.

- Older Pennsylvanians tend to have more disabilities and less access to internet. As a result, they have less access to disease information, telehealth, self-care management programs with an online component, and other online activities to reduce social isolation. About one in four of Pennsylvanians aged 65 and over do not have access to internet.\(^{20}\)

- 26% of Pennsylvanians reported having a disability (one or more difficulty in hearing, seeing, concentrating, remembering, making decisions, walking, dressing, bathing, and shopping), which is the same percent as the country overall.\(^{18}\)

- By race and ethnicity, 23% of white, 41% of Hispanic, 28% of non-Hispanic Black, and 35% of multi-race Pennsylvanians reported one or more disabilities.\(^{18}\)

- Disabilities increased with age, from 19% of 18-44 year-olds to 40% among people 65 and over.\(^{18}\)

- Many social determinants of health including housing, transportation, and social interactions are impacted by having a disability.

A report from Pennsylvania Health Access Network shows that the major challenges of people with disabilities in rural Pennsylvania include; barriers in getting the right information, lack of accommodation for people with disabilities, access to transportation, provider’s competencies to treat people with disabilities, and limiting access to health care by insurance companies by denying claims to treatments and equipment.\(^{21}\)

**Stakeholders referred to Whole-person care bridges health, behavioral health, and social services; stakeholders referred to it as a possible solution to barriers to accessing care.**

- Whole-person care is based on the concept that health and well-being extend beyond just physical health. It emphasizes the importance of integrating comprehensive care for the entire individual, which in turn enhances the effectiveness of interventions and solutions.

> I would like to see Pennsylvania work toward what is called whole-person primary health. It is a fusion of behavioral health, a fusion of medical, and it is something that is going to reshape…the terminology of primary care cannot be furthered without considering whole person health. That should be something that we, as a commonwealth, grasp and shape.”

– Focus group participant
This section summarized priority issues related to access to care and explored:

- Health insurance status and cost
- Primary care providers
- Dental and oral health care
- Geographic implications
- Health literacy and cultural competency
- People with disabilities
- Whole-person care

Visit these SHA sections for additional context:

- Social Determinants of Health, Equity & Racism
- Mental Health
- Assets
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Substance use, which includes the nonmedical use of illicit and legal psychoactive substances, is a major public health challenge in the U.S. and Pennsylvania. This section presents information about the overall usage of various substances, the prevalence of substance use disorder, rates of drug overdose deaths, hospitalizations related to opioids, factors contributing to health challenges, disparities in substance use, and barriers to treatment for substance use. A discussion of the challenges caused by the separation between mental health and substance use systems is presented. Finally, prevention of substance use among adolescents are explored.

Data shared in this section are from 2016 to 2022.
Pennsylvanians are concerned about substance use and its impact.

Pennsylvanians experience high numbers of substance use-related hospitalizations.\textsuperscript{1,2} Substance use disorders occur when the recurrent use of alcohol and drugs causes clinically significant impairment, including health problems, disability, and failure to meet major responsibilities at work, school, or home.\textsuperscript{3} Below are the list of some of the commonly used substances and their prevalence by age group (Table SU.1). Methamphetamine and marijuana use are increasing among all age groups.\textsuperscript{4}

| Table SU.1: Prevalence of Substances Used in the Year Before the Survey by Age Group (2018-2019)\textsuperscript{4} |
|--------------------------------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Substances                                       | 12-17 years (%)  | 18-25 years (%)  | 26 years and over (%) |
| Marijuana                                        | 12               | 33.7             | 13.9             |
| Prescription pain reliever                       | 1.9              | 5.0              | 3.0              |
| Heroin                                           | 0.02             | 0.7              | 0.4              |
| Methamphetamine                                  | 0.2              | 0.9              | 0.7              |
| Cocaine                                          | 0.4              | 6.4              | 1.7              |

Source: National Survey on Drug Use and Health (NSDUH)

There are long-term trends [in substance use], and it is typical that a trend toward opioid use will be followed by a stimulant trend. It’s almost as if one generation does not learn to not use drugs; they learn to not use the drug that they saw harm the prior generation.”

– Focus group participant
Drug overdose death rates vary across Pennsylvania.

**Figure SU.2: Unintentional Drug Overdose Deaths* and Crude Unintentional Drug Overdose Death Rate per 10,000, by County in Pennsylvania, 2021.**

*Unintentional Drug overdose deaths include overdoses from illicit, prescription or over-the-counter drugs, excluding only related overdoses; counts do not include suicides or homicides where someone intended to harm another person by poisoning. Counts for counties with overdose death counts between 1 and 5 are suppressed. 2021 death data is preliminary, based on death record data as of July 2022 and counts may increase.

Source: Pennsylvania Department of Health, Office of Drug Surveillance and Misuse Prevention

- Figure SU.2 shows the rates of unintentional drug overdose deaths in Pennsylvania. Counties in the East and southwestern parts of the state have higher drug overdose deaths rates.

- As of July 2022, the highest year number (5,425) of unintentional overdose deaths in Pennsylvania occurred in 2017. While Pennsylvania saw an 18% decrease in 2018 (4,451) and a minor increase in 2019 (4,480), there were significant increases in 2020 (5,169) and in preliminary 2021 (5,326) unintentional overdose death counts.7

- Increases in unintentional overdose deaths have been disproportionally driven by increasing rates among Pennsylvania’s Black communities (6.9 deaths per 10,000 population; 52% increase compared to 2019). Moreover, overdose deaths were higher among males (6/10,000) and people aged 34-44 (9.6/10,000).7

- Most unintentional overdose deaths involve an opioid, and an increasing proportion involve both an opioid and a stimulant, such as methamphetamine/amphetamine or cocaine (31% in 2017 vs. 40% in 2021 as of July 2022). Additional details about drug specificity among unintentional overdose deaths can be found on the Drug Overdose Surveillance Interactive Data Report.7

- Another emerging threat is the increase in unintentional overdose deaths involving xylazine, a sedative for large animals also referred to as “tranq”. People often knowingly or unknowingly use it in combination with other drugs, particularly illicitly obtained fentanyl.8 While xylazine was initially detected in unintentional overdose decedents in Philadelphia in 2006, it has been detected in people who died from unintentional overdose in at least 30 counties across the Commonwealth as of 2021.

- Non-fatal overdose surveillance is conducted by the DOH via Pennsylvania’s syndromic surveillance system, EpiCenter, which monitors emergency department data in near-real time for a variety of overdose-related visits. The system alerts local and state public health officials when higher than expected are detected. The data are disseminated to additional stakeholders who can respond. Trends in non-fatal overdoses are found on the Drug Overdose Surveillance Interactive Data Report.
Hospitalizations for opioid overdose has decreased but opioid use continues to be a pressing issue in Pennsylvania

- Between 2008 and 2017, Pennsylvania experienced a dramatic rise in opioid-related hospitalizations.\(^1\),\(^2\) Hospitalizations for opioid overdose decreased 31% between 2017 and 2021.

- In 2021, 30% of the opioid overdose-related admissions were related to heroin and 70% to pain medication.\(^2\)

- In 2021, admissions were higher among males and those in areas with lower median income and rates varied by race and ethnicity; the rate among non-Hispanic Black, Hispanic, and non-Hispanic white were, 26.3, 18.7, and 13.7 per 100,000 respectively.\(^2\)

Evidence-based harm reduction strategies can help to reduce negative consequences associated with drug use.

Harm reduction is one of the four pillars of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services’ Overdose Prevention Strategy.\(^9\) Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration defines harm reduction as “an approach that emphasizes engaging directly with people who use drugs to prevent overdose and infectious disease transmission, improve the physical, mental, and social wellbeing of people served, and offer low-threshold options for accessing substance use disorder treatment and other health care services”.\(^10\)

Harm reduction is an important strategy for reducing overdose deaths in Pennsylvania. Improving access to life-saving resources and services, increasing the availability of naloxone and fentanyl test strips, and advocating for change to permit utilization of harm reduction strategies, including the establishment of syringe service programs are some of the harm reduction tactics that should be pursued.\(^11\)

Pennsylvania is one of 11 states where Syringe Service Programs are illegal to operate.\(^12\) As such, these programs currently exist only in areas with local ordinances allowing them including Allegheny and Philadelphia Counties.

Current treatment structures and systems separate mental health and substance use issues, which are often co-occurring.

Although mental health and substance use issues can be closely related, people seeking help often must choose which treatment system to enter. In 2019, 21% of adults served through state mental health agencies had co-occurring mental health and substance use disorders.\(^13\)

Despite this co-occurrence, communication between mental health and substance use systems can be challenging. The treatment and system structures are different, and there are different professional standards regarding knowledge, skills, and abilities in the two fields.\(^14\)

Together, these factors may complicate people’s ability to get the treatment they need.
Stakeholders noted that people use multiple substances simultaneously and caution against focusing on any one substance. They emphasized the importance of a “whole-person” approach and a continuum of treatment services.

Stakeholders identified several obstacles that prevent residents from getting the full spectrum of care they need, including:

- lack of public transportation;
- lack of providers in rural areas;
- prohibitive cost of treatment and insufficient insurance coverage;
- lack of long-term treatment through recovery community organizations and other services; and
- continued stigma and view of substance use as a moral problem.

Needing but not receiving treatment services for substance use in the past year was higher among 18 to 25-year-olds compared to other age groups: 14% of 18 to 25-year-olds did not receive the treatment they needed, compared to 3% of 12 to 17-year-olds and 6% of people 26 and older.  

The most important thing to focus on moving forward is long-term solutions that don’t just involve the treatment component, but rather new, creative, innovative ways to fund and mobilize recovery. We are talking about a chronic disease that is often treated as an acute episode. We don’t always do the best job of providing that stable base of recovery services.”

- Focus group participant

This section summarized priority issues related to substance use and explored:

- Overall usage of substances and its impact
- Drug overdose deaths
- Vulnerable populations
- Substance use treatment
- Substance use and mental health co-morbidity and system divisions
- Use of substances among high school students

Visit these SHA sections for additional context:

- Social Determinants of Health, Equity & Racism
- Assets

6% of individuals (12+ years old) needed but did not receive treatment for substance use at a specialty facility.
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Mental health is an important part of overall health and well-being. This section examines the overall mental health status of Pennsylvanians, the prevalence of mental health conditions, self-reported “poor” mental health days, rates of depression and suicide, and factors that contribute to mental distress and poor health outcomes, including social isolation, access to mental health treatment, and barriers to care. Youth mental health is another focus area, featuring issues such as depressive thoughts and suicidality. This section concludes with an overview of well-being and associated mental and physical outcomes.

Data shared in this section are from 2016 to 2022.
The prevalence of mental health issues and the increasing frequency of mental distress are major concerns.

Public poll respondents ranked “mental health problems” as the most important health issue facing their communities.

The percentage of adults reporting that their mental health was not good for 14 or more days in the past month, increased from 12% in 2014 to 14% in 2021.

About 8% of Pennsylvanians aged 18 or older had a major depressive episode* in 2019.

In 2020, there were 1,686 Pennsylvanians who died by suicide, with higher rates among white residents (13 per 100,000) than other racial groups, as shown in figure MH.1.

Suicide rates increased by 5% between 2010 and 2020 with greatest increase among Black (38%), Hispanic (26%), and older adults (34% among age group 85+).

Socioeconomic status and geographic location may limit the accessibility of mental health treatment, as they may reduce access to facilities and providers.

There were significant disparities in poor mental health experiences lasting 14 days or more. In 2021, poor mental health was higher among people with income less than $15,000 (27%), and lesbian, gay, or bisexual (35%).

According to the 2022 Pennsylvania LGBTQ Health Need Assessment, 75% of the respondents experienced a mental health challenge in the year before the survey, and 50% of transgender, non-binary, or genderqueer respondents considered suicide in their lifetime.

Stakeholders discussed vulnerable populations who have reduced access to essential services and higher rates of mental health problems, including:

- Older adults (65+)
- Farmers
- Incarcerated people
- Residents in rural areas
- Institutionalized individuals
- Veterans
- LGBTQ+ individuals

*Major depressive episode is defined as a period of two weeks or longer when a person experienced a depressed mood or loss of interest or pleasure in daily activities, and had majority of specified symptoms, such as problems with sleep, eating, energy, concentration, or self-worth (Based on DSM-IV criteria).
Mental health among young adults is of particular concern.

- One in five adults aged 18 to 29 reported their mental health was “not good” for 14+ days in the past month in 2021.2

- Approximately 20% of adults reported having “any” mental illness, with the highest prevalence, 30%, among 18- to 25-year-olds.3

- Suicide was among the top three causes of death among the age groups 15 to 19 and 20 to 24.1

- In 2018/19, the rate of major depressive episodes and serious suicidal thoughts was highest among 18 to 25-year-olds (15% and 13%), and trending upward.3

![Figure MH.2. Adults Reporting “Not Good” Mental Health for 14+ Days in Past Month by Age, 2021](image)

### Meaningful relationships are key to mental health, particularly among seniors, as social support and connection are associated with better health outcomes and overall well-being.

“When people are isolated and they don’t feel like they are a part of the community, they are less likely to seek help, to help others. Those are all the protective factors that help our communities do better.”

– Focus group participant

Seniors without meaningful relationships or support networks are at higher risk for poor cognitive functioning and premature mortality.6,7,8 Factors strongly related to overall risk of social isolation include:8

- Being divorced, separated, or widowed
- Never having married
- Higher levels of poverty
- Having difficulty living independently
- Living with a disability
- Living alone

### What is mental health parity and how does it impact access to treatment?

The Mental Health Parity and Addiction Equity Act of 2008 is a federal law that requires the same health insurance coverage for mental health and/or substance use disorder conditions as patients would receive for coverage of medical/surgical services. Pennsylvania passed this act in 2010.10

Accessing mental health services may be difficult for some populations, especially when considering cost-related barriers associated with lack of parity in insurance reimbursement rates.

In 2017, the average in-network reimbursement rates in Pennsylvania for PPO plans were higher for medical or surgical office visits compared to those for behavioral health visits. Individuals received 17.9% higher reimbursement percent for primary medical care compared to behavioral health care.9
Youth mental health and crisis prevention are important issues for Pennsylvanians.

- The percent of high school students who, in the past 12 months, felt sad or hopeless almost every day for two weeks or more in a row, so that they stopped doing some usual activities, increased from 28% in 2015 to 35% in 2019.11

- Among high school students, a higher percentage of Hispanic students reported feeling sad or hopeless than non-Hispanic white students.

- Students who identified as lesbian, gay, or bisexual were over twice as likely to experience feeling sad or hopeless, as people identifying as heterosexual.11

- Stakeholders providing services in rural Pennsylvania expressed how the availability of mental health providers offering specialized services is limited, especially considering youth-focused services.

- In 2021, about 17% of students in the 6th, 8th, 10th, and 12th grades reported participating in organized prosocial community activities, a decrease from 24% in 2015.12 Similar decreases in participation in other school and faith-based prosocial activities are also seen among the students. This could have negative impact on the mental health of the students.

Stakeholders discussed the need for widespread prevention efforts and social-emotional learning in schools to address mental health issues.

In 2020, 86% of Pennsylvania secondary schools reported having teachers who tried to increase student knowledge on emotional and mental health in a required course.13 Several focus group participants highlighted the need for additional support inside schools, such as school-based health centers, to ease the burden on school counselors and psychologists.

Pennsylvania schools continue to face shortages of school-employed mental health professionals (e.g., school counselors, school psychologists, school social workers), with ratios that far exceed national recommendations.
While access to mental health providers has improved in recent years, Pennsylvania continues to face a shortage of licensed clinicians and mental health providers, particularly in rural areas.

Pennsylvania’s ratio of population to mental health providers has improved in recent years from 600:1 people per provider in 2016 to 420:1 in 2021 and the state remains above the national ratio of 350:1. Non-licensed providers face barriers in getting the formal supervision needed for licensure, thus reducing the number of potential providers.

Rural areas face challenges, especially with access to psychiatrists. There are 18 psychiatrists in urban areas, compared to five psychiatrists per 100,000 population in rural areas. Lack of representation across clinicians is an added barrier for those who might benefit from provider-client cultural congruency. In addition to the lack of behavioral health resources in rural counties, additional barriers include transportation, stigma, telehealth, internet access, and technology issues.

It is also important to have specialists who focus on older populations such as geriatric psychologists, who play a role in diagnosing of dementia and other chronic diseases of this age group.

**Figure MH.4. Rate of Mental Health Providers per 100,000 People, 2021**

In general, empowering all sorts of care providers, no matter what specialty, to be able to provide mental health care in any sort of integrated platform would be very useful....so that anybody who encounters a provider can feel that someone, somewhere is going to hear them and help them tackle their problems without having to go to a mental health provider specifically.”

– Focus group participant

Even among those able to access a provider, stakeholders pinpoint challenges in continuity of care and navigation:

- High staff turnover can result in patients seeing multiple providers in a shorter time span, potentially impacting quality of care, connection, and rapport.
- Navigation of paperwork and insurance can complicate access, especially when jumping from provider to provider.
- Lack of communication between health care providers can prevent patients from receiving timely care when facing a crisis.
Well-being is a holistic means of assessing physical and mental health, with important implications for overall quality of life and numerous physical and mental health outcomes.  

Self-reported physical and mental health are measures of an individual’s perceived quality of life. In 2021, the percentage of adults reporting fair or poor general health was particularly high among people making less than $25,000 per year and people with less than high school education. 

Other factors, like sleep hours, contribute to quality of life. Overall, 35% of Pennsylvanians reported getting an average of six or fewer hours of sleep per night, with a higher percent reported among non-Hispanic Black adults. 

This section summarized priority issues related to mental health and explored:

- Overall mental health in Pennsylvania
- Social isolation
- Access to mental health treatment and parity
- Youth mental health
- Well-being

### References

Chronic diseases are a major public health challenge throughout Pennsylvania and the U.S. This section presents overall prevalence of chronic diseases and explores common chronic diseases: cardiovascular disease, cancer, diabetes, obesity, and asthma. This section also reviews tobacco and nicotine use, healthy diet, and physical activity, which are all connected to preventing or managing several chronic diseases.

Data shared in this section are from 2016 to 2021.
Chronic diseases are a major health problem in Pennsylvania. Overall, 47% of Pennsylvania adults lived with one or more chronic diseases (i.e., cancer, cardiovascular disease, arthritis, asthma, Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Diseases (COPD), and diabetes), with higher rates among people aged 65 and older (78%).

Tobacco use, excessive alcohol use, physical inactivity, and poor nutrition are major risk behaviors for chronic diseases.

The most common cause of death in Pennsylvania in 2020 was cardiovascular disease; the age-adjusted death rate due to cardiovascular disease was 234 per 100,000.

People living in rural counties were more affected by chronic diseases compared to urban counties, with higher death rates due to cancer (rural = 280 deaths per 100,000, urban = 239 deaths per 100,000), heart diseases (rural = 244 deaths per 100,000, urban = 214 deaths per 100,000), and Alzheimer’s disease (rural = 39 deaths per 100,000, urban = 28 deaths per 100,000).

Based on a three-year period of hospitalization discharge (2019-2021) data, there were 859 heart disease related hospitalizations per 100,000 population; rates varied by county and ranged from 418 per 100,000 in Pike County to 1,076 per 100,000 in Philadelphia County (see Figure CD.1). Rates were higher among Black (1,202 per 100,000) compared to white (770 per 100,000) people.

"[Chronic disease is] something that will affect a person over the long haul and in many domains of their life. Health is a precious asset."

– Focus group participant
Cardiovascular disease does not strike all populations equally.

Among white Pennsylvanians, the age-adjusted rate of cardiovascular diseases was 225 per 100,000, compared to 322 per 100,000 Black Pennsylvanians. Among white Pennsylvanians, the age-adjusted rate of cardiovascular diseases was 225 per 100,000, compared to 322 per 100,000 Black Pennsylvanians. Among white Pennsylvanians, the age-adjusted rate of cardiovascular diseases was 225 per 100,000, compared to 322 per 100,000 Black Pennsylvanians. Among white Pennsylvanians, the age-adjusted rate of cardiovascular diseases was 225 per 100,000, compared to 322 per 100,000 Black Pennsylvanians. Among white Pennsylvanians, the age-adjusted rate of cardiovascular diseases was 225 per 100,000, compared to 322 per 100,000 Black Pennsylvanians. Among white Pennsylvanians, the age-adjusted rate of cardiovascular diseases was 225 per 100,000, compared to 322 per 100,000 Black Pennsylvanians. Among white Pennsylvanians, the age-adjusted rate of cardiovascular diseases was 225 per 100,000, compared to 322 per 100,000 Black Pennsylvanians. Among white Pennsylvanians, the age-adjusted rate of cardiovascular diseases was 225 per 100,000, compared to 322 per 100,000 Black Pennsylvanians. Among white Pennsylvanians, the age-adjusted rate of cardiovascular diseases was 225 per 100,000, compared to 322 per 100,000 Black Pennsylvanians. Among white Pennsylvanians, the age-adjusted rate of cardiovascular diseases was 225 per 100,000, compared to 322 per 100,000 Black Pennsylvanians. Among white Pennsylvanians, the age-adjusted rate of cardiovascular diseases was 225 per 100,000, compared to 322 per 100,000 Black Pennsylvanians. Among white Pennsylvanians, the age-adjusted rate of cardiovascular diseases was 225 per 100,000, compared to 322 per 100,000 Black Pennsylvanians. Among white Pennsylvanians, the age-adjusted rate of cardiovascular diseases was 225 per 100,000, compared to 322 per 100,000 Black Pennsylvanians. Among white Pennsylvanians, the age-adjusted rate of cardiovascular diseases was 225 per 100,000, compared to 322 per 100,000 Black Pennsylvanians. Among white Pennsylvanians, the age-adjusted rate of cardiovascular diseases was 225 per 100,000, compared to 322 per 100,000 Black Pennsylvanians. Among white Pennsylvanians, the age-adjusted rate of cardiovascular diseases was 225 per 100,000, compared to 322 per 100,000 Black Pennsylvanians. Among white Pennsylvanians, the age-adjusted rate of cardiovascular diseases was 225 per 100,000, compared to 322 per 100,000 Black Pennsylvanians. Among white Pennsylvanians, the age-adjusted rate of cardiovascular diseases was 225 per 100,000, compared to 322 per 100,000 Black Pennsylvanians. Among white Pennsylvanians, the age-adjusted rate of cardiovascular diseases was 225 per 100,000, compared to 322 per 100,000 Black Pennsylvanians. Among white Pennsylvanians, the age-adjusted rate of cardiovascular diseases was 225 per 100,000, compared to 322 per 100,000 Black Pennsylvanians. Among white Pennsylvanians, the age-adjusted rate of cardiovascular diseases was 225 per 100,000, compared to 322 per 100,000 Black Pennsylvanians. Among white Pennsylvanians, the age-adjusted rate of cardiovascular diseases was 225 per 100,000, compared to 322 per 100,000 Black Pennsylvanians. Among white Pennsylvanians, the age-adjusted rate of cardiovascular diseases was 225 per 100,000, compared to 322 per 100,000 Black Pennsylvanians. Among white Pennsylvanians, the age-adjusted rate of cardiovascular diseases was 225 per 100,000, compared to 322 per 100,000 Black Pennsylvanians. Among white Pennsylvanians, the age-adjusted rate of cardiovascular diseases was 225 per 100,000, compared to 322 per 100,000 Black Pennsylvanians. Among white Pennsylvanians, the age-adjusted rate of cardiovascular diseases was 225 per 100,000, compared to 322 per 100,000 Black Pennsylvanians. Among white Pennsylvanians, the age-adjusted rate of cardiovascular diseases was 225 per 100,000, compared to 322 per 100,000 Black Pennsylvanians. Among white Pennsylvanians, the age-adjusted rate of cardiovascular diseases was 225 per 100,000, compared to 322 per 100,000 Black Pennsylvanians. Among white Pennsylvanians, the age-adjusted rate of cardiovascular diseases was 225 per 100,000, compared to 322 per 100,000 Black Pennsylvanians. Among white Pennsylvanians, the age-adjusted rate of cardiovascular diseases was 225 per 100,000, compared to 322 per 100,000 Black Pennsylvanians. Among white Pennsylvanians, the age-adjusted rate of cardiovascular diseases was 225 per 100,000, compared to 322 per 100,000 Black Pennsylvanians.

In 2021, 7% of residents over 35 reported that they had had a heart attack and 5% reported they had a stroke; people with lower household income and lower educational attainment were at higher risk.

In 2021, 34% of adults reported having ever been told they had high blood pressure. Non-Hispanic Black adults, people with household income below $25,000, and people with high school education or less were particularly at risk for high blood pressure (Figure CD.2).

Among people with high blood pressure, 81% were taking medication for it.

Older Pennsylvanians are especially at risk for chronic diseases including diabetes.

In 2021, there were 193 diabetes related hospitalizations per 100,000 residents with higher rates among Black residents (420 per 100,000). The prevalence of diabetes was 11% in 2021. Moreover, an additional 11% of adults were also told they have pre-diabetes or borderline diabetes.

There was low rates of diabetes screening among adults and Medicaid recipients. During 2021, of people diagnosed with diabetes, only 31% had their A1C checked 4 or more times, 73% had a dilated eye exam, and 52% had taken a class on how to self-manage diabetes in the past year.

Chronic diseases were more prevalent among people 65 and over compared to people 45 to 64, as shown in table CD.1.

### Table CD.1. Prevalence of Chronic Diseases Among People Age 45 to 64 and 65 or over, 2021

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chronic condition</th>
<th>45-64</th>
<th>65+</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COPD, emphysema or chronic bronchitis</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroke</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arthritis</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart diseases</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kidney disease</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: BRFSS*

Older Pennsylvanians

- Roughly 2.4 million people in Pennsylvania are age 65 or older.
- Among people age 65 and older, 280,000 are living with Alzheimer’s disease, the sixth leading cause of death.
- 83% of Pennsylvanians with subjective cognitive decline have at least one chronic condition.
- In 2021, there were 7 Alzheimer’s disease related hospitalizations per 100,000 population with higher rates among Hispanic (13 per 100,000).
Common cancers in Pennsylvania are breast, lung and bronchus, and prostate cancers.\(^8\)

- In PA in 2020, 27,907 people died from cancer (155 deaths per 100,000 residents); the incidence of cancer in 2019 was 449.5 per 100,000. By county, the age-adjusted rate of all cancer deaths ranged from 129 deaths per 100,000 residents in Centre County to 194 deaths per 100,000 residents in Perry County.\(^1,9\)

- Black residents were less likely to be diagnosed early and more likely to die of cancer than white residents. The age-adjusted cancer death rate per 100,000 population among residents by race and ethnicity were: Black 183, whites 152, Asian/Pacific Islanders 81, and Hispanic 109.\(^1,8\)

Early detection is an important part of fighting cancer, yet there are significant differences in who receives cancer screenings.

- In 2020, 79% of females aged 21 to 65 reported that they had a Pap test in the past three years, and 81% of females aged 50 to 74 reported that they had a mammogram in the past two years. People with household incomes $15,000-24,999 were less likely to receive mammogram screening (67%) compared to people with income $75,000 (85%). Non-Hispanic Black women have higher rates of pap test (92%) compared to non-Hispanic white women (79%).\(^2\)

- Colonoscopy was found to reduce colorectal cancer incidence and mortality by 69% and 68% respectively.\(^10\) Approximately 71% of people aged 50 to 75 had a colonoscopy in the past ten years.\(^2\)

Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) and Asthma affect many Pennsylvanians.

- In 2021, there were 79 COPD related hospitalizations per 100,000 population in Pennsylvania. Rates range from 30 per 100,000 in Bedford County to 162 per 100,000 in Philadelphia County; and were higher among Black residents (161 per 100,000).\(^3\)

- Females, Hispanic adults, and adults with lower household incomes had higher prevalence of asthma than their counterparts (Figure CD.4).\(^2\)

- Poor air quality can be related to geography, climate, population density, land use, tree cover, and regional industries, and can impact lung function and worsen asthma symptoms.\(^11\)
Healthy diet and physical activity can decrease risks of several common chronic conditions.

- Active people generally live longer and have lower risk for serious health problems like heart disease, type 2 diabetes, obesity, and some cancers. Low-income and minority communities often lack convenient places with affordable healthier foods or safe places for exercise.

- In 2019, 51% of adults participated in the recommended amount of physical exercise. Non-Hispanic Black, Hispanic, and people with lower educational attainment were less likely to have participated in the recommended amount of physical exercise.²

- Among high school students, 12.6% reported that they did not participate in at least 60 minutes of physical activity on at least 1 day (in any kind of physical activity, during the previous 7 days before taking the survey, that increased their heart rate and made them breathe hard some of the time). Non-Hispanic Black (24.5%), Hispanic (19.3%), and Asian (18.9%) had higher prevalence compared to non-Hispanic white (9.3%). Moreover, lesbian, gay, or bisexual people have higher rates (21.7%) compared to heterosexual people (11%).¹²

- Vegetables and fruits are an important part of a healthy diet. Consuming diets high in fruits and vegetables can reduce the risk of some chronic diseases including lowering blood pressure, blood sugar, the risk of heart disease and stroke, and preventing some types of cancer. In 2021, Only 14% of adults consumed five or more servings of fruits and vegetables daily.²

- High school students who did not eat vegetables - green salad, potatoes (not counting French fries, fried potatoes, or potato chips), carrots, or other vegetables - during the 7 days before the survey increased from 4.8% in 2009 to 7.9% in 2019 with higher prevalence among non-Hispanic Black (18.1%) compared to non-Hispanic white (6.6%) students. In contrast, high school students who drank sugary carbonated beverages one or more times per day decreased between 2015 (26%) and 2019 (14%).¹²
Smoking and alcohol use among high school students is associated with behavioral and mental disorders, which can extend into adulthood.

- Student use of cigarettes, cigars, smokeless tobacco, or electronic vapor products (at least one day during the 30 days before the survey) increased from 19% in 2017 to 27% in 2019.\textsuperscript{12}

- In 2019, about one in four high school students used alcohol at least once in the past month and 11% binge drank.\textsuperscript{12}

- Cigarette use among high school students decreased from 18% in 2009 to 7% in 2019, and frequent cigarette use (20 or more days in the past 30 days) decreased from 8% in 2009 to 2% in 2019.\textsuperscript{12}

- Use of electronic vapor products was 24% in 2019, and frequent use (20 or more days of use in the past 30 days) of these products increased from 3% to 10% between 2015 and 2019.\textsuperscript{12}

- As seen in figure CD.4, non-Hispanic white high school students were more likely to use cigarettes and electronic vapor products compared to non-Hispanic Black and Hispanic students.\textsuperscript{12}

- Nearly one in five high school students (20%) were offered, sold, or given an illegal drug on school property in the past year, which has increased from 16% in 2009.\textsuperscript{12}

- Gay, lesbian, or bisexual high school students were more likely to experiment with marijuana, prescription drugs, cocaine, and inhalants compared to heterosexual students.\textsuperscript{12}

---

**Figure CD.4. High School Students’ Use of Cigarettes, Electronic Vapor Products, and Alcohol in Past Month, 2019\textsuperscript{12}**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographic factors</th>
<th>Percentage of population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All youth</td>
<td>7 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White non-Hispanic</td>
<td>8 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black non-Hispanic</td>
<td>29 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>3 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>26 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heterosexual</td>
<td>2 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gay, lesbian, bisexual</td>
<td>24 ± 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: YRBS

---

*I would underscore the need for solid, comprehensive, upstream prevention and for it to not get lost. There’s always the next substance and next epidemic. If we lose sight of prevention because we are focused on the immediacy of the epidemic, we are just going to be in Groundhog Day over and over again.*

- Focus group participant
Obesity continues to be a public health challenge. People with obesity have poorer mental health conditions and reduced quality of life.\textsuperscript{11}

- Obesity is associated with increased risk for hypertension, high LDL cholesterol, low HDL cholesterol, type 2 diabetes, coronary heart disease, stroke, gallbladder disease, osteoarthritis, sleep apnea, breathing problems, mental illness, and low quality of life. Being overweight is also a risk factor for many of these outcomes.\textsuperscript{13,14}

- Obesity is also associated with increased risk of adenocarcinoma of the esophagus; cancers of the breast (in postmenopausal women); colon and rectum; endometrium (corpus uterus), gallbladder, gastric cardia; kidney (renal cell); liver; ovary; pancreas; thyroid; meningioma; and multiple myeloma.\textsuperscript{15} People with obesity who have bariatric surgery appear to have lower risks of obesity-related cancers than those who do not have bariatric surgery.\textsuperscript{14}

\begin{figure}
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure_cd5}
\caption{Obesity by Race/Ethnicity, Income and Age Group Among Adults, 2021\textsuperscript{2}}
\end{figure}

- In 2019, obesity-related medical care costs in the U.S. were estimated to be $173 billion.\textsuperscript{16}

- Obesity prevalence among Pennsylvania adults increased from 29\% to 33\% between 2011 and 2021.\textsuperscript{2} More non-Hispanic Black, and age group 45-64 had obesity (Figure CD.5).\textsuperscript{2}

- According to the 2017-2018 school health statistics, 18.1\% of students (K-12) had BMI $\geq$ 95\% percentile for age.\textsuperscript{17}

**Tobacco use among adults has declined from 22\% in 2011 to 14\% in 2021.**

- Smoking is a major risk factor for chronic diseases (cancer, heart disease, stroke, lung diseases, type 2 diabetes, and other chronic health conditions).\textsuperscript{18} In Pennsylvania in 2021, 14\% of adults were current cigarette smokers.\textsuperscript{2}

- The prevalence of current cigarette smoking was also higher among people who identified as gay, lesbian, or bisexual (21\%), people with less than high school education (24\%), and with income <15,000 (27\%).\textsuperscript{2}

- The life loss and economic cost of smoking is huge. About 22,000 Pennsylvania adults die each year from smoking-related diseases and 31\% of cancer deaths are attributable to smoking. More than seven billion dollars in annual health care costs in Pennsylvania are directly related to smoking.\textsuperscript{19}

- Policies to reduce tobacco use have strengthened. The HP2030 goal tax on cigarettes is greater than $2.60. Pennsylvania has already achieved this goal.\textsuperscript{20}
Dental caries is one of the most common chronic diseases in the country.

- Oral health is an important aspect of an individual's physical well-being. Although dental caries (cavities) and periodontal (gum) disease are highly preventable, dental caries is one of the most common chronic diseases in the U.S.  

- During fiscal year 2020-21, among children aged 1-17 in Pennsylvania, about 10.7% reported having oral health problems such as toothaches, bleeding gums or decayed teeth or cavities.

- Oral and pharyngeal cancers are increasing in Pennsylvania (24% increase between 2010 and 2019). In 2019, there were 2,151 oral and pharyngeal cancers diagnoses. The age-adjusted mortality rate was 2.8 per 100,000 residents in 2020.

- Pennsylvania Oral Health Plan 2020-2030 identified oral health priority areas including improving access to dental care, preventive services and health literacy, increasing oral health work force, and improving oral health infrastructure.

This section summarized priority issues related to chronic disease and explored:

- Overall chronic diseases
- Cardiovascular disease
- Obesity
- Diabetes
- Common cancers
- Asthma
- Physical activity and healthy diet
- Tobacco use
- Oral health

Visit these SHA sections for additional context:

- Social Determinants of Health, Equity & Racism
- Substance use
- Mental health
- Assets
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The Maternal and Infant Health section describes the health of women* related to pregnancy, childbirth, and postpartum periods, family planning, and infant health. This section addresses disparities in maternal and infant health outcomes, such as infant mortality, maternal mortality, low birthweight, and preterm live births, followed by an examination of social determinants of health and racism as driving forces of these disparities. The section discusses the need for culturally responsive health care services that cover the lifespan. Substance use and mental health data for pregnant and parenting women are also shared as are infant health, breastfeeding, and reproductive health and health services.

Data shared in this section are from 2013 to 2021.

* Although it is recognized that not all individuals who may become pregnant or who interact with reproductive health services are cisgender women, this SHA did not explore the unique needs of non-binary and transgender individuals. Secondary data sources may or may not have included gender minorities in their samples. To be congruent with available data, the term “women” is used throughout this section to refer to people using reproductive health services and experiencing pregnancy.
There are disparities in maternal and infant health outcomes across Pennsylvania.

- Maternal mortality (i.e., death during pregnancy or within 42 days of delivery) is increasing in the U.S. In Pennsylvania, Black mothers and infants were twice as likely to die than their white counterparts (Figure MIH.1 and Table MIH.1).

- In 2018, pregnancy-associated mortality ratios (defined as the death of a woman while pregnant or up to one year from the end of a pregnancy) was 82 deaths per 100,000 live births. Non-Hispanic Black people had two times higher rates of maternal mortality compared to non-Hispanic white. Moreover, individuals giving birth in the 40+ age category had more than two times higher pregnancy-associated mortality ratio compared to individuals giving birth in the 25-29, 30-34 and 35-39 age categories.

- Factors associated with pregnancy-associated deaths include less than high school and high school, inadequate prenatal care, and accidental poisoning (drug-related overdose deaths).

- Severe maternal morbidity (SMM) is increasing in Pennsylvania. From 2010 to 2021, the rate of SMM increased by 69%. In 2021, there were 110 SMM cases among 10,000 in-hospital deliveries with higher rates among Black (176 per 10,000), Hispanic (117 per 10,000), and age group 35-55 (162 per 10,000).

- Preterm birth and low birthweight rates were higher among Black than white pregnant persons in 2019.

- Both preterm birth and low birthweight are associated to increased risk of death and potential lifelong disabilities. Preterm birth occurs when a baby is born prior to completing 37 weeks of pregnancy. Low birthweight occurs when a baby is born weighing less than five pounds, eight ounces and can be caused by preterm birth.

- Complications and causes of infant deaths can be attributed to birth defects, low birthweight, pregnancy complications, Sudden Unexpected Infant Death (SUID), and injuries.

- In Pennsylvania, prematurity and SUID are among the leading causes of infant deaths.

---

**Figure MIH.1.** Crude Infant Mortality per 1,000 Live Births by Race and Ethnicity, 2020

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Race/Ethnicity</th>
<th>Crude rate per 1,000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>10.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian/Pacific Islander</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-Race</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Vertical line indicates HP2030 goal

**Table MIH.1.** Maternal Mortality per 100,000 by Race, 2015-2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Race</th>
<th>Maternal mortality rate per 100,000 live births</th>
<th>HP2030 goal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>15.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>15.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>15.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure MIH.2.** Percent Preterm Birth by Race and Ethnicity, 2020

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Race/Ethnicity</th>
<th>Percentage of Population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>9.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>14.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian/Pacific Islander</td>
<td>8.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-Race</td>
<td>10.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>10.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Vertical line indicates HP2030 goal
Disparities in maternal health and birth outcomes are driven by many factors, including inequities in social determinants of health and racism. There are well-documented, individual-level risk factors for poor birth outcomes, such as age, marital status, income, and health behaviors. Yet, individual-level factors alone do not account for the racial disparities seen in preterm birth, low birthweight, and infant mortality. Structural racism refers to “the ways in which societies foster racial discrimination through mutually reinforcing systems of housing, education, employment, earnings, benefits, credit, media, health care, and criminal justice.” One way to improve population health, including maternal health, is through the dismantling of these structures of systemic racism.

Stakeholders raised nearly every aspect of social determinants of health when discussing maternal and infant health. They identified additional populations that experience adverse birth outcomes, including rural and urban residents, low-income individuals, immigrants, undocumented individuals, people who are uninsured and underinsured, and populations lacking culturally appropriate services.

They stressed that basic needs must be met before health can improve.

Many women of all races and ethnicities in Pennsylvania are not receiving early and adequate prenatal care.

- Early and adequate prenatal care is important for the health of the pregnant person. The HP2030 goal for early and adequate prenatal care is 80.5%. Overall, 74.7% of pregnant persons received early and adequate prenatal care in 2019 (see Figure MIH.3). Receipt of early and adequate prenatal care was higher among white pregnant women compared to Black pregnant women.2

* Early and adequate prenatal care is defined as adequate or adequate plus (intensive) per Kotelchuck’s Adequacy of Prenatal Care Utilization Index.
Reproductive health is an integral part of overall health, and preventative services are crucial for lowering health risks.

- Pennsylvania ranked 15 among 50 states for women who had a preventive medical visit (well-woman visit) in the past year.\textsuperscript{15}
- During 2019-2021, about three in four women with a recent live birth said that they or their partner were doing something to prevent pregnancy after delivery.\textsuperscript{14}
- Between 1990 to 2020, teen births have declined by 73%. Yet in 2019, 3.7% of births were to teens (15 to 19 years old), with a higher prevalence among Black and multi-race women compared to white women.\textsuperscript{8}

There is concern regarding stress among women and young families, their need for more personal connections and support, and improved access to mental health treatment.

Between 2019 and 2021, in the three months prior to pregnancy, 28% of women with a recent live birth reported experiencing anxiety and 17% reported experiencing depression. Approximately 12% of women reported postpartum depression.\textsuperscript{14}

Black and Asian women were more likely to report postpartum depression as compared to white women (see Figure MIH.4).\textsuperscript{14}

\begin{quote}
We need to mitigate stress and toxic effects, talk about building strengths and resilience... Some say that having one safe, nurturing, positive relationship can be that mitigating factor. How do we do that for moms so that they can be the resilient source for the child?"
\end{quote}

\textsuperscript{14}Others include American Indian, Hawaiian, Alaskan Native, Mixed race

\textit{Figure MIH.4. Self-reported Postpartum Depression by Race and Ethnicity, 2019-2021}\textsuperscript{14}
Women may struggle with other aspects of continuous health care access.

- Roughly 32,000 women in Pennsylvania live in a maternity care desert, where care is limited or absent through lack of services or other barriers to access. Roughly 32,000 women in Pennsylvania live in a maternity care desert, where care is limited or absent through lack of services or other barriers to access. There was significant rural/urban disparity in access to obstetric and Neonatal Intensive Care Units (NICU) services in Pennsylvania (Figure MIH.4 and MIH.5).

Focus group respondents identified access to health care challenges in the state to include the following:

- a lack of accessible providers in rural and urban areas due to transportation challenges, provider shortages, and the merging of hospitals;
- insurance barriers preventing adequate health care before and after pregnancy;
- the stress associated with trying to access various types of care at multiple locations within typical business hours, while working and caring for children;
- lack of awareness of resources and services; and
- health information that is not communicated effectively to low-literacy populations and to people for whom English is not their first language.

- In Pennsylvania, in 2020, 95% of urban residents were living within 15 miles of a hospital with NICU but only 45% of rural residents were living within 15 miles of a hospital with NICU. Access to hospital-based OB/GYN services can be threatened by closures of those units when small rural hospitals join larger, urban health systems. In addition, 10 rural counties in the state do not have a hospital, further impacting access to local maternal and infant health services.
Women who gave birth also faced challenges with substance use.

- Stakeholders spoke about substance use during pregnancy, including illicit substances, alcohol, and vaping, which is taking the place of tobacco.
- Cigarette smoking was higher and alcohol use was lower among pregnant women with lower educational attainment. Of people who had a live birth, 9% smoked in the last three months of pregnancy and 6% consumed alcohol. (Figure MIH.4). Smoking during pregnancy varied across the state from 3.7% in Chester County to 31.5% in Cameron County (Figure MIH.6).

![Figure MIH.4. Cigarette Smoking and Alcohol Use in Last 3 Months of Pregnancy Among Pregnant Women by Education, 2019-2021](image)

Between 2000 and 2016, maternal hospital stays involving any substance increased from 15 to 40 per 1,000 hospital stays. Close to 49% of maternal hospital stays with substance use in 2016-2017 involved an opioid drug. Neonatal Abstinence Syndrome (NAS) is a newborn withdrawal syndrome due to prenatal exposure to opioids, benzodiazepines, or barbiturates. Newborns with NAS have higher rates of respiratory distress, difficulty feeding, low birth weight, prematurity, and added an estimated $15.2 million in hospital payments in 2018. There were 1,825 NAS-related newborn hospital stays in Pennsylvania in 2020. The rate of NAS in newborns increased by more than 1000% (from 1.2 to 15.0 per 1,000 newborn stays) between 2000-2001 and 2016-2017. NAS was highest among residents who were white, from rural parts of the state, and had lower household incomes.

![Figure MIH.6. Percent of Births to Pregnant Persons Who Smoked During Pregnancy, 2018-2020](image)
Physical exercise, regular well-checks, and breastfeeding are important aspects of infant health.

The American Academy of Pediatrics provides the “Periodicity Schedule,” which outlines the screenings and assessments that are recommended at well-child visits from infancy through adolescence. Pennsylvania ranked 11 of 50 states for children receiving one or more preventive services.

Breastfeeding is beneficial to both woman and infant. Breast-fed infants have a reduced risk of many conditions, including asthma, obesity, type 1 diabetes, and SUID. Women who breastfeed have a reduced risk of high blood pressure, type 2 diabetes, and ovarian and breast cancers.

Breastfeeding initiation was less common among Black and multi-race infants compared to white infants. Breastfeeding barriers include lack of provider and hospital support, the need for the woman to return to work, inflexible work environments, and lack of breastfeeding knowledge and social support.

Women younger than 25 were also less likely to initiate breastfeeding. However, initiation of breastfeeding increased in women aged 20-24 from 60% in 2009 to 76% in 2020.

Regular exercise during pregnancy benefits the pregnant person and the baby. Between 2019 - 2021, 40% of pregnant women reported doing exercise at least three days per week during the 12 months before pregnancy. Women with low income and lower educational attainment were less likely to exercise before pregnancy.

### Table MIH.2. Infants Ever Breastfed, 2020

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Race and ethnicity</th>
<th>Percentage breastfed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>82%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>83%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>76%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>81%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian/Pacific Islander</td>
<td>89%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-race</td>
<td>81%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This section summarized priority issues related to maternal and infant health and explored:

- Infant and maternal mortality
- Low birthweight and preterm birth
- Racism and social determinants of health
- Healthcare quality and access
- Mental health and substance use
- Infant health
- Reproductive health and health services
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Injury and violence prevention is another high-priority topic in Pennsylvania. This section examines preventable causes of death, including unintentional injuries and homicides. The section then reviews violent crime and the impact of violence, including sexual violence, intimate partner violence, and child maltreatment, on overall quality of life. Youth violence is another focus area, featuring issues such as bullying, juvenile arrest rates, and risk of violence and violent behaviors. The section also briefly reviews pedestrian safety and motor vehicle accidents. The section concludes with a discussion of firearms, firearm safety, and the prevalence of hate crimes across the state.

Data shared in this section are from 2015 to 2022.
Unintentional injuries and preventable deaths are priority issues for Pennsylvanians with high rates of fatal injuries. In 2020, in Pennsylvania, there were 12,436 fatal injuries with an estimated healthcare and statistical life cost of $117 billion. Most fatal injuries were due to unintentional injuries accounting for 9,565 (77.9%) of deaths.

Unintentional injuries are injuries that are not deliberate or done with purpose. These include unintentional poisoning, road traffic injuries, falls, burns, and drowning.

The major causes of unintentional injuries included drug poisoning (50.7%), falls (22.4%), motor vehicle accidents (12.3%), and suffocation (4%). Suicide accounted for 13.6% of deaths and homicides accounted for 8% of fatal deaths. Due to the strong correlation between suicides and mental health, suicide related deaths are discussed in detail in the mental health section.

Experiences of violence impact quality of life, can have lasting emotional, physical, and financial effects, and may contribute to premature death. In 2021, Pennsylvania ranked 22nd of 50 states for its violent crime rate (306 per 100,000) (first being the safest state), which includes rapes, robberies, aggravated assaults, and homicides. During the past five years, Pennsylvania has stayed in the middle quintile for state violent crime rates.

In 2020, a total of 988 homicides occurred in Pennsylvania, 36% increase from 2019. Philadelphia, Montour, Lycoming, Delaware, and Allegheny counties had significantly higher 5-year homicide rates compared to the rest of the state.

The rate of homicides in Pennsylvania was 8.3 per 100,000 residents in 2020 and approximately 15 times higher among Black residents (39.4 per 100,000) compared to white residents (2.6 per 100,000). The disparity was even higher among young Black males in which the rate of homicide among Black males ages 15-19 was about 47 times higher compared white males ages 15-19. Over the past five years, while the rate of homicides among white residents held steady, there was an increase among Black residents.
Violent crime is costly for victims, and the resulting financial burden may compound the negative effects of an already traumatic experience.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Types of crime</th>
<th>Amount paid</th>
<th>% Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Child sexual abuse</td>
<td>$3,272,118</td>
<td>29.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assault</td>
<td>$3,164,093</td>
<td>28.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homicide</td>
<td>$2,132,964</td>
<td>19.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sexual assault</td>
<td>$1,245,207</td>
<td>11.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fraud/finance crimes</td>
<td>$568,747</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robbery</td>
<td>$241,466</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other vehicular crimes</td>
<td>$150,258</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DUI/DWI</td>
<td>$145,486</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child physical abuse/neglect</td>
<td>$119,248</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burglary</td>
<td>$95,666</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Pennsylvania Commission On Crime And Delinquency

In fiscal year 2020, the Victims Compensation Assistance Program (VCAP) approved compensation for 6,107 applications. In total, VCAP awarded $11,221,209 for expenses related to approved claims (Table IVP.1). In fiscal year 2020, the Victims Compensation Assistance Program (VCAP) approved compensation for 6,107 applications. In total, VCAP awarded $11,221,209 for expenses related to approved claims (Table IVP.1). A stark majority (76%) of approved victim compensation was paid for child sexual abuse, assault, and homicide, highlighting the costly nature of these crimes.

With Pennsylvania’s growing senior population, elder abuse and neglect remain important areas of focus.

Symptoms of elder abuse and neglect may include weight loss, isolation, depression, bruises or broken bones, increased confusion, and unusual withdrawals from any account. Statewide reports of elder abuse increased by 63% between fiscal year 2015-16 to 2020-21 from 24,413 to 39,820. The average number of cases that were substantiated was 38%, higher than the previous year (36%). In fiscal year 2021-22, 58.3% of the total reports for older adult protective services were due to caregiver or self-neglect.

Hate crimes are violent or property crimes based in prejudice and serve to terrorize persons holding marginalized identities.

Hate crimes are believed to be under-reported. This could be due to reluctance of victims to report the offense to law enforcement or the reluctance of law enforcement to appropriately classify the offense as a hate crime.

There were a total 91 hate crimes in 2020. Most hate crimes (76%) were committed due to bias against race, ethnicity, or ancestry, followed by bias against religion (16%) and sexual orientation (6.1%).

In 2021, there were 30 known hate groups in Pennsylvania, accounting for approximately 4% of the nation’s 733 active groups.

What is the legal definition of a hate crime?

Hate crimes are defined as those committed criminal offenses that are “motivated, in whole or in part, by the offender’s bias(es) against a race, religion, disability, sexual orientation, ethnicity, gender, or gender identity.”
Females, people of color, and the elderly are especially vulnerable to experiences of violence, as shown by disproportionately high rates of sexual, domestic, and intimate partner violence.

- In 2021, about 13% of adults reported being physically hurt by an intimate partner and 6% reported experiencing unwanted sex by a current or former intimate partner. Intimate partner violence was higher among females and low household income brackets (Figure IVP.4).

- Between 2013 and 2020, the rate of reported rapes increased from 30 per 100,000 to 33 per 100,000. In 2015, approximately 4% of adults reported experiencing unwanted sex by a current or former intimate partner, reported higher among females (7%) than males (1%).

- In 2021, 112 victims lost their lives to domestic violence in Pennsylvania. This number includes 70 females and 42 males. Additionally, there were 40 perpetrator deaths. About 56% were killed by a current or former intimate partner; 63% killed with a firearm.

- Domestic violence may be underreported due to several reasons including fear, emotional and mental abuse, lack of information, or lack of financial resources. Pennsylvania Coalition Against Domestic Violence report shows that during 2020-2021, about 80,000 survivors were served and over 91,000 hotline calls were answered.

  "During COVID our domestic shelter has been full...pretty much brimming over because of the close quarters. So, there is a significant uptick in domestic violence during this time."
  – Focus group participant

Intimate partner violence is of particular concern for females aged 17 to 24, as later patterns of intimate partner violence may begin through early experiences of relationship abuse during adolescence.

- Approximately 10% of high school students reported experiencing sexual violence in the last year. Reports included unwanted kissing or touching and being physically forced to have sexual intercourse.

- Sexual violence was two times higher among students identifying as gay, lesbian, or bisexual (19%) than heterosexual students (9%), and four times higher among females (17%) than males (4%).

13% of adults reported ever being hit, slapped, pushed, kicked, or hurt in any way by an intimate partner.

6% of females reported ever experiencing unwanted sex by a current or former intimate partner.
Child maltreatment has lifelong implications. Substantiated reports of child maltreatment were higher in rural than urban areas of Pennsylvania.\textsuperscript{21}

“

I can speak for Tioga County. We are completely rural. …We are geographically dispersed. We are seeing … poverty, family dysfunction, …a lot of isolation, lack of services so that people are struggling to get to … things that they need. So, the frustratiions run high, and kids bear the brunt of that with their caregivers being upset about things and ending up in emotional and physical injury.

– Focus group participant

Child abuse and neglect results in huge financial costs. In the United States, the lifetime estimated financial costs associated with just one year of confirmed cases of child maltreatment is approximately $124 billion.\textsuperscript{22}

Pennsylvania Child Protective Services received 38,013 reports of child abuse in 2021. Of those reports, 13\% (5,036) were substantiated and resulted in 58 fatalities and 136 near fatalities. Most child abuse reports (80\%) came from mandated reporters.\textsuperscript{21}

The most frequently reported type of abuse was sexual abuse (40\%), followed by physical abuse/bodily injury (26\%).\textsuperscript{21}

There are several risk factors associated with child abuse and neglect, including:\textsuperscript{23}

- **Parent/Caregiver:** Previous familial abuse, substance misuse, mental health issues, single parenting, young age, low income, low educational attainment
- **Family:** Social isolation, family stress, separation or divorce, violence in the home
- **Community:** Concentrated neighborhood disadvantage, community violence

---

**Figure IVP.5.** Substantiated Child Abuse Reports per 1,000 Children, 2021\textsuperscript{21}

Source: Pennsylvania Department of Human Services
Youth violence is an adverse childhood experience that is linked with many violent behaviors and poor health outcomes later in life.\textsuperscript{24}

What is youth violence?
Youth violence is defined as the “intentional use of physical force or power to threaten or harm others by young people ages 10-24.”\textsuperscript{25}

- Stakeholders also discussed the effects of intergenerational trauma on families, which often precedes abuse. Child abuse and maltreatment often overlap with domestic violence,\textsuperscript{27} which highlights the importance of primary prevention efforts in addressing abuse holistically, at the family level and in schools.

- In Pennsylvania there were a total of 13,785 delinquency-related allegations during 2021. This represents an 8.3% decrease from 2020 to 2021, and a 44.4% decrease from 2017. Delinquency related allegations were higher in males and non-Hispanic Black.\textsuperscript{26}

- Stakeholders emphasized the importance of contextualizing violent or criminal activity of youth, as there are numerous risk factors associated with youth violence. Additionally, arrest rates may be further inflated because of systemic racism.\textsuperscript{24}

- The percent of students who did not go to school because they felt unsafe at school or on their way to school in the last month increased from 5% in 2009 to 8% in 2019.\textsuperscript{20}

- Approximately 19% of high schoolers reported being bullied on school property during the past year.\textsuperscript{20} Female students were more frequently bullied than male students, as were students who identified as gay, lesbian, or bisexual compared to heterosexual-identifying students (Figure IVP.6).

\begin{itemize}
  \item 8% of high school students did not go to school because they felt unsafe at school or on their way to school at least once in the last month.\textsuperscript{20}
  \item 22% of high school students were in a physical fight at least once in the past year.\textsuperscript{20}
  \item 8% of high school students were threatened or injured with a weapon on school property at least once in the past year.\textsuperscript{20}
\end{itemize}

\begin{figure}
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure.png}
\caption{High School Students Bullied on School Property by Race, Sexual Identity, and Gender, 2019\textsuperscript{20}}
\end{figure}

\textsuperscript{Source: YRBS}\textsuperscript{^ Non-Hispanic}
Firearm-related injuries and deaths remain issues of high priority for the health of Pennsylvanians.

- A Pennsylvania Special Council on gun violence recommended the following focus areas to reduce gun violence:
  ▪ Stopping gun violence before it begins
  ▪ Preventing situations where guns can be used to harm self or others
  ▪ Promoting Trauma-Informed Systems and Expanding Access to Mental Health Supports and Services
  ▪ Supporting effective community-led efforts
  ▪ Enhancing prevention, intervention & response skills
  ▪ Coordinated planning and response
  ▪ Informing better planning and policies

In 2018, firearm-related injuries were the second leading cause of traumatic pediatric death and third leading cause of overall pediatric death in the U.S.

In 2019, in Pennsylvania, among reviews conducted on deaths occurring in children aged 10 through 21 years, the most frequent causes of deaths were assault, weapon, or person’s body part over multiple manner of death categories to include homicides, suicides, and accidents.

During 2010-2019, the rate of firearm-related deaths in Pennsylvania increased 15% compared to a 17% increase nationwide. Moreover, the rate of firearm-related suicides increased 20% and firearm-related homicides increased 12%.

In 2020, the firearm-related death rate was higher for males (23.9 per 100,000) compared to females (3.0 per 100,000). Across racial and ethnic groups, the rate of death was highest for non-Hispanic Black individuals at 39.2 deaths per 100,000.

States with stricter gun laws had fewer pediatric firearm-related deaths. In 2021, Pennsylvania received a B- rating from Giffords Law Center for its gun safety laws. The state ranked 14 of 50 for gun law strength and 31st of 50 for gun deaths.

- Focus group participant

- Guns are really essential in all of this. Guns are obviously a huge factor for homicide and suicide, and they make situations of domestic violence and child abuse turn deadly when they otherwise would not be… to me that feels like something that is crosscutting.

- Focus group participant

- Approximately 1,141,413 firearms were purchased or transferred in 2020, a 49% increase from 2019. The Pennsylvania Instant Check System processed a total of 1,445,910 background checks, and this number represents a 47.2% increase from 2019. Firearm sales have increased in the past decade, with higher jump in 2020 (see Figure IVP.7).

- Firearms were used in 78% of homicides, 42% of robberies, and 25% of aggravated assaults in 2020.
Ensuring safe walkways and bicycle paths may reduce pedestrian and vehicular deaths.

- In 2021, there were approximately 85.3 billion vehicle-miles traveled on Pennsylvania’s roads and highways. The fatality rate was 1.44 fatalities per hundred million vehicle-miles traveled and was the highest recorded in Pennsylvania since 2005.35

- The consumption of alcohol and drugs as well as driving above the speed limit are major risk factors for traffic crash fatalities. Alcohol-related crashes accounted for approximately 8% of all crashes in 2021 but 25% of all traffic crash fatalities.

- The use of seat belts greatly reduces the risk of traffic crash fatalities. About 50% of all people who died in crashes were not wearing seat belts.

- In 2021, in Pennsylvania:35
  - 1 out of every 50 people was involved in a reportable traffic crash.
  - 1 out of every 10,540 people was fatally injured in a reportable traffic crash.
  - 1 out of every 186 people was injured in a reportable traffic crash.

- Pennsylvania developed the Pennsylvania Walkable Communities Collaborative in 2016 to increase walkability across the state and link residents to their communities.36

This section summarized priority issues related to injury and violence and explored:

- Overall injury and violence in Pennsylvania
- Violent crime
- Child abuse and neglect
- Youth violence
- Firearm-related injuries and deaths

Visit these SHA sections for additional context:

- Social Determinants of Health, Equity & Racism
- Mental Health
- Substance Use
- Assets
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Immunizations and infectious diseases comprise a key public health theme. This section examines vaccination coverage for vaccine-preventable diseases such as measles, mumps, and rubella. It reviews the impacts of communicable diseases such as pertussis and tuberculosis on different populations and reviews rates of human papillomavirus (HPV) and influenza (flu) vaccination. Next, the section details the impact of COVID-19’s racial disparities, the state of HIV and AIDS, sexually transmitted infections (STIs), and hepatitis B and C. Finally, this section addresses foodborne illnesses and Lyme disease in Pennsylvania.

Data shared in this section are from 2016 to 2022.
Although vaccinations are a great public health success, Pennsylvania needs to increase vaccination coverage for some populations.

In 2022, of 50 states, Pennsylvania ranked in 6 in childhood immunizations (children who received all recommended doses of the combined seven-vaccine series by age of 24 months).³

Among children born between 2014 and 2017, 75% received the combined seven vaccine series by the age of 24 months.¹ Table IID.1 shows vaccination coverage by race and ethnicity by the age of 24 months among children born between 2014 and 2017.

Some Pennsylvanians may not receive vaccines for religious or cultural reasons, philosophical objections, or due to misinformation about safety. Other reasons can include cost, poor insurance coverage, transportation challenges, language barriers, and lack of time for appointments.⁴

A lot of well care is being put off; can we catch everyone up before we see outbreaks of vaccine-preventable diseases? We know from other countries and other situations in this country, that when immunization rates decrease, the vaccine-preventable diseases do reoccur.

" - Focus group participant

Table IID.1. Vaccination Coverage by Age 24 Months among Children Born 2014-2017, Pennsylvania¹

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vaccination Series</th>
<th>All (%)</th>
<th>Non-Hispanic White (%)</th>
<th>Black (%)</th>
<th>Hispanic (%)</th>
<th>Other, Multiracial (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4 doses of tetanus, diphtheria &amp; acellular pertussis (DTaP)</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 doses of polio</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 dose of measles, mumps &amp; rubella (MMR)</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 doses of hepatitis B</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 dose of varicella (chickenpox)</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined seven vaccine series</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Centers for Diseases Control and Prevention
**Immunizations & Infectious Diseases**

**Vaccination coverage for flu and HPV merit concern.**

We know that, in most communities, flu vaccination coverage is less than 50%. That is one of the biggest challenges that we face year after year. This year will be even more challenging with COVID thrown in the equation.”

– Focus group participant

Influenza (flu) is a respiratory illness caused by viruses that can manifest mild to severe symptoms resulting in hospitalization or even death. In 2021, 63% of adults 50+ received a flu shot. Flu vaccination varied from 58% among people with less than high school education to 70% among people with a college degree. Flu vaccination was lower among people with lower income. Pennsylvania ranked 12 among the states in flu vaccination among adults.

HPV is a vaccine-preventable virus that can lead to six types of cancers: cervix, vagina, vulva, penis, anus and back of the throat (oropharyngeal). CDC recommends HPV vaccines at age 11 or 12 years. In 2021, 69% of adolescents ages 13-17 had received the recommended doses of HPV vaccine.

Stakeholders suggested that immunization levels in the state can be improved through a more robust state registry. The Pennsylvania Statewide Immunization Information System (PA-SIIS) is a registry that aims to reduce vaccine-preventable diseases through accurate management and reporting of vaccination data. It is a web-based system available to all health care providers and staff, but participation is voluntary.

**There are still cases of pertussis, measles, mumps, and tuberculosis in Pennsylvania.**

- Pertussis, or whooping cough, is a highly contagious vaccine-preventable disease. From 2019 to 2021, there were a total of 1,129 new pertussis cases in Pennsylvania. Infants under one year old have the highest rates of Pertussis.

- There were 17 reported confirmed measles cases and 204 confirmed mumps cases in 2019. 10-year (2010-2019) average was 4.4 cases per year for measles and 40.4 cases per year for mumps.

- There were 166 tuberculosis cases in Pennsylvania in 2021. The disease disproportionately affected Black (2.8 per 100,000) and Hispanic (1.6 per 100,000) residents compared to white (0.4 per 100,000).
Amidst the coronavirus pandemic, Pennsylvania is focused on the disparate impact of the virus across racial and ethnic populations.

"Populations that experience challenges related to poverty—such as problems with water, adequate food supply, housing, and whether they can appropriately socially distance when somebody in the home is sick—are probably more impacted from infectious diseases. Those are all things that contribute to infectious diseases running through poorer populations more quickly … than they would in other populations …." - Focus group participant

- The COVID-19 pandemic highlighted underlying, long-standing health system gaps and social inequities affecting racial and ethnic minorities.

- As of June 2023, about 28% of the Pennsylvania population had been infected with the virus and 50,517 Pennsylvanians died from COVID-19 throughout the pandemic. About 66% of the population was fully vaccinated. Based on the reported race, 52% of white, 47% of Black, 59% of Asian/Pacific Islander, and 26% of Native Americans were fully vaccinated and race was unknown for 7.1%. \(^{11}\) Vaccine misinformation was a major challenge across the nation and the state.

- In 2020, COVID-19 was the third leading cause of death accounting for 11% of all deaths. The age-adjusted death rate was 91.5 per 100,000. Black and Hispanic residents were more likely to die from COVID-19 compared to white residents. The five counties with the highest COVID-19 death rates were Juniata, Mifflin, Northumberland, Philadelphia, and Delaware. \(^{12}\)

The COVID-19 pandemic placed a significant financial burden on Pennsylvania hospitals. Based on the PHC4 report, the total COVID-19 related expenses and lost revenue reported by Pennsylvania hospitals and health systems between Jan 2020 and June 2022 was more than $7.8 billion. \(^{13}\)

The COVID-19 pandemic also impacted the insurance status of Pennsylvania residents. In 2020, 3% of adults reported losing their health insurance due to the pandemic since March 1, 2020. A higher percentage of non-Hispanic Black (7%) and Hispanic (7%) residents lost their health insurance compared to white residents (2%). Moreover, a higher percentage of residents with income <$15,000 (5%) lost their health insurance compared to people with income >$75,000. \(^{2}\)
Nationwide emergency visit rates for mental health conditions, suicide attempts, all drug and opioid overdoses, intimate partner violence, and child abuse and neglect increased during the COVID-19 pandemic. The pandemic may have affected mental health through loneliness, financial instability, illness, grief, and other pathways. Furthermore, it is crucial to acknowledge that older adults face a heightened vulnerability to social isolation, which was exacerbated by the COVID-19 pandemic, including social distancing measures.

Data demonstrated a significant rise in unemployment in the state since the onset of the pandemic. The unemployment rate, which stood at 4.7% in February 2020, reached its highest point at 16.1% in April 2020 and then fell to 6.6% by November 2020. Moreover, based on the 2020 BRFSS survey, 27% of Pennsylvanians experienced permanent or temporary job loss (or decrease in work hours) due to the COVID-19 pandemic. This highlights the challenges faced by the state in recovering from the impact of the pandemic on its workforce.

Individuals with cardiovascular diseases, chronic lung diseases, obesity, diabetes, chronic kidney disease, or any combination of these comorbidities are at increased risk of COVID-19 severity compared to people without these conditions. Racial and ethnic minority groups with these conditions are at an even higher risk for severe COVID-19 illness. A study conducted in the University of Pennsylvania Health System showed that Black race was associated with more severe disease at admission, higher rates of comorbidities, and residing in a low-income zip code compared to whites.

The COVID-19 pandemic exacerbated the existing crisis of affordable housing, adding a burden to low-income families. A report by Pennsylvania Budget and Policy Center found that the pandemic worsened the affordable rental housing problem Pennsylvania faced prior to the pandemic.

Alongside the COVID-19 pandemic, Pennsylvania experienced a multitude of changes in diseases and behaviors in 2020. In 2020, there was a slight increase in causes of death related to diabetes, Alzheimer’s, heart diseases, homicides, mental and behavioral disorders, and Parkinson’s disease. However, there was also a slight decrease in the reported incidence of communicable diseases during the same period. Moreover, there was a significant decline in childhood lead testing and cancer incidence reports, particularly in the initial months of the pandemic. Chronic drinking, which is defined as consuming an average of two or more drinks every day in the past 30 days, increases in 2020. These trends highlight the complex impact of the pandemic on various health aspects in the state.

**Foodborne diseases pose health hazards to Pennsylvanians.**

- Reports of foodborne illnesses caused by Campylobacter, Salmonella, and Escherichia coli (E. coli) are routinely monitored by Pennsylvania Department of Health.

  - Campylobacteriosis is one of the most common causes of bacterial diarrhea. In 2021, in Pennsylvania, there were 20 Campylobacter infections per 100,000 people, which is higher than the HP2030 goal of 10.9 per 100,000.

  - Salmonella is often found in undercooked meat, raw vegetables, and unpasteurized milk, and can cause diarrhea or vomiting. In 2021, there were 1,453 cases (11.2 per 100,000) of Salmonellosis reported just below the HP2030 goal of 11.5 per 100,000.

  - E. coli is a group of bacteria that causes severe bloody diarrhea, vomiting, and abdominal cramps. In 2021, there were 3.3 E. coli infections per 100,000 people, which is lower than the HP2030 goal of 3.7 per 100,000.
Pennsylvanians continue to prioritize addressing HIV and STIs.

- The annual number of new diagnoses of HIV infection continued to decrease since the mid-1990s. In 2021, 886 new HIV infections were diagnosed compared to 1,386 in 2011, a 36%. However, new HIV diagnoses increased by 15% from 2020. An estimated 40,600 persons diagnosed with HIV in Pennsylvania were alive at year-end 2020. Disparities exist in who were impacted by the disease. The predominant mode of transmission of HIV remains men who have sex with men, with disproportionate impacts seen in individuals aged 25 to 34 and the minority population, primarily Black, African Americans, and Hispanic.

- Between 2003 and 2021, both primary and secondary syphilis diagnoses increased by 700%. Figure IID.1 shows a 43% increase in chlamydia, and a 59% increase in gonorrhea from 2003 to 2021. Disparities by race and ethnicity were evident: compared to white, Black individuals were more likely to be diagnosed with syphilis (six times), gonorrhea (12 times), and chlamydia (seven times). Similarly, compared to the overall population, Hispanic residents were more likely to be diagnosed with syphilis and chlamydia.

Pennsylvania faces a high burden of Hepatitis B and Hepatitis C.

- Hepatitis B is a vaccine-preventable liver infection that can lead to serious, even life-threatening, health issues like cirrhosis or liver cancer. Only an estimated 30% of adults aged ≥19 years in the U.S. are vaccinated for hepatitis B.

- In Pennsylvania, there are approximately 1,000 new Hepatitis B infections reported each year – but many others remain undiagnosed. Approximately four in every ten acute hepatitis B infections in Pennsylvania are due to injection drug use.

- In 2019, in Pennsylvania, the incidence of acute hepatitis B was 0.7 per 100,000, compared to the national rate of 1.0 per 100,000.

- As of 2017, an estimated 50,000 Pennsylvanians were chronically infected with hepatitis B and over 200,000 were chronically infected with hepatitis C.

- Hepatitis C can result in serious health problems, including cirrhosis and liver cancer. The CDC recommends one-time hepatitis C testing of all adults (aged 18 and older) and all pregnant women during every pregnancy. CDC also recommends routine testing for individuals with ongoing risk factors, such as people who inject drugs and share equipment, and people who have received maintenance hemodialysis.

- In 2020, in Pennsylvania, the incidence of acute hepatitis C was 1.2 per 100,000, 12 times higher than the HP2030 goal of 0.1 per 100,000.

- In 2022, the Department of Health created the Viral Hepatitis Elimination (VHE) plan with Long-term and short-term goals to document what needs to be accomplished in Pennsylvania to eliminate viral hepatitis.

*Note: 36% of race and 58% of ethnicity data is unknown for STIs. The percentage was calculated among those whose race and ethnicity was known*
Pennsylvania continues to be among the top states for incidence of Lyme disease.9

- Pennsylvania is among the top five states for Lyme disease. Lyme disease is a bacterial infection spread by tick bites and caused approximately 2,900 cases in 2021, but this is likely underreported.9 Most Lyme cases in Pennsylvania occur in the late spring and summer months. To prevent Lyme diseases, people should use insect repellent and wear tick safe clothing when spending time outdoors.30

This section summarized priority issues related to immunizations and infectious diseases and explored:

- Routine immunizations, especially among children
- Vaccine-preventable diseases
- Vaccination coverage for flu and HPV
- Social determinants of health
- HIV and AIDS, STIs, and hepatitis B and C
- Foodborne illnesses
- Lyme disease
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Environmental health is a cross-cutting issue that impacts the lives of Pennsylvanians in many ways. This section provides an overview of environmental justice and equity in Pennsylvania before moving to more specific topics, such as the built environment, air quality, and water quality. It reviews children’s environmental health, occupational health, and climate change. The section concludes with an overview of Pennsylvania’s current status on global climate change initiatives emphasizing the state’s 2021 Climate Action Plan and future considerations.

Data shared in this section are from 2014 to 2021.
Environmental health is interrelated with policy, infrastructure, and structural justice and injustice.

The World Health Organization (WHO) defines environmental health as the physical, chemical, biological, and behavioral factors that impact health, including air and water quality, built environment, and hazardous exposures.¹

Section 27 of the Pennsylvania Constitution includes the Environmental Rights Amendment:

“The people have a right to clean air, pure water, and to the preservation of the natural, scenic, historic and esthetic values of the environment. Pennsylvania’s public natural resources are the common property of all the people, including generations yet to come. As trustee of these resources, the Commonwealth shall conserve and maintain them for the benefit of all the people.”

Stakeholders recognize that environmental health influences access to care and incidence of chronic and infectious disease. Individuals with less control over the quality of their environment are at greater risk of long-term exposure to environmental hazards. Populations at greatest risk to environmental health hazards include the following:²

- Communities of color
- Individuals with lower incomes
- Children
- Pregnant women
- Adults over 65 years of age
- People with lower literacy and limited English proficiency
- People employed in occupations subject to environmental hazards
- People with disabilities
- People who are legally detained or incarcerated

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) stresses the necessity of environmental justice to ensure all persons have equal access to safe environments and decision-making power.³ Populations who are made most vulnerable to environmental inequities are identified as living in “environmental justice areas,” which are census tracts in which at least 20% of residents live in poverty and 30% are a racial minority.⁴

Pennsylvania environmental justice areas maps are available from DEP’s Office of Environmental Justice. Mapping environmental justice areas helps us identify communities that are affected by environmental pollution and related health outcomes, are made socially vulnerable, or have been left out of decision-making that influences the health of their surroundings.

Real estate redlining, a form of structural racism that began in the 1930s, labeled neighborhoods with high percentages of people of color as ‘high risk’ resulting in banks not lending money to people to start businesses, buy homes, and do needed home repairs.⁵ This and other unjust municipal infrastructure policies and decisions contributed to residential segregation, often leaving communities of color with more socioeconomic and home-related health challenges that they do not have the resources to mitigate.

The Pennsylvania Department of Health developed an Environmental Health Indicators Map, which seeks to display where natural and manmade environmental hazards occur, who lives in those areas, and residents’ health status. This map helps to provide context about overlapping environmental hazards and social and health vulnerabilities.

Stakeholders emphasized addressing structural racism and systemic inequities as key to improving air quality, water quality, and home health problems, such as lead, pests, asbestos, and crowding.²
The built environment, such as housing quality, work spaces, and schools, impact health outcomes.

**Older housing stock** – In 2021, 28% of homes in Pennsylvania are at risk for lead exposure, compared to the national average of 17%. Houses built before 1978 have an elevated risk for lead exposure. The use of lead-based paint was banned in 1978, therefore houses built after this year have reduced risk of lead exposure. Pennsylvania counties with the highest proportion of older homes include Philadelphia (85%), Delaware and Cambria (79% each), and McKean (78%). Stakeholders noted environmental health risks, such as home lead exposure, can take years to manifest.

**Radon** – Radon, a radioactive gas found in homes and the environment, is the second leading cause of lung cancer after smoking. Exposure to radon levels above four pCi/L (picocuries per liter), increases risk of lung cancer. Most counties in Pennsylvania have a predicted average indoor radon screening level above the recommended level.

![Figure EH.2. Radon Zones in Pennsylvania Counties, 2020](image)

**Community-level exposures** – EPA’s National Priorities List (NPL), also known as the Superfund List, tracks sites that released or might release hazardous substances. This list prioritizes sites for EPA’s Superfund Cleanup Remedial program. As of 2023, Pennsylvania has 90 active sites, two proposed NPL sites, and 35 deleted sites (i.e., EPA determines that no further response is required to protect human health or the environment). Superfund sites can include contaminants such as lead, asbestos, and radiation which can lead to cancer, birth defects, and other serious health issues. Some groups of people, such as children, pregnant women, and older adults may be at particular risk.

*The number of houses built between 1950 and 1978 is not directly reported by ACS. To calculate the number of houses built before 1978, 80% of the number of houses built between 1970-1979 were added to the number of houses built before 1970.
Having access to safe recreational spaces is another key component of healthy living environments.

Obesity in Pennsylvania is projected to increase from 33% in 2021 to 50% by 2030. Stakeholders discussed how access to safe recreational spaces may impact childhood and adult obesity, particularly among those who rely on these spaces for physical activity, and cited risk factors such as neighborhood safety and gun violence as potential barriers.

Access to green space and outdoor recreation benefits people physically and mentally and is needed for all, especially children and older adults, including those in long-term care. While over half of Pennsylvanians reported having access to trailheads within 15 minutes (69%) and walking access to parks (52%), there were noted racial disparities in access. About 41% of Black residents cited transportation issues as being the main barrier to visiting state parks.

The Outdoor Recreation Access in Pennsylvania, Pursuing a Goal of Recreation for All, developed by the Pennsylvania Department of Conservation and Natural Resources (DCNR), identifies areas which do and do not have access to green, recreational space within ten minutes.

Air quality varies widely by geographic location, affected by proximity to diverse sources, weather, and climate change.

One of Pennsylvania’s greatest environmental health challenges is elevated air pollution levels, which can lead to adverse human health conditions, including cardiovascular, respiratory, and reproductive problems. Nationally, in 2022, Pennsylvania ranked 41 of 50 states, among the worst for the general public’s exposure to fine particulate matter (PM2.5, smaller than 2.5 micrometers).

In 2019, approximately 61.2% of Pennsylvania residents were residing in counties that met the National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS) for PM$_{2.5}$. This percentage reflects a notable increase from 43.5% in 2014, which further improved to 58% in 2018. These figures demonstrate the positive progress made in ensuring a healthier environment for the residents of Pennsylvania.

Air Quality Index (AQI) is a measure of how clean or polluted the air is. In 2020, the state-wide average of the 90th percentile AQI value was 55. In 1980, the same AQI metric was 130.

The total cancer risk per million people related to air toxic compounds was last recorded as 31.7 both in Pennsylvania and the U.S. based on a 2014 EPA National Air Toxics Assessment report. There was wide variation within Pennsylvania, with the recent highest reported emission levels in Lehigh County attributable to corporate manufacturing emissions of large amounts of ethylene oxide.

I just wanted to stress, once again, structural racism and residential segregation. These may have been mentioned…access to safe outdoor recreational places which kind of gets at the obesity and then also kind of taps into urban gun violence, and then walkability. And somehow, they are all intertwined with poverty.”

– Focus group participant

What does the Air Quality Index (AQI) measure?

The AQI is a composite measure of air pollution (lead, ozone, particle pollution, SO$_2$, NO$_2$, and CO) in which higher values equate to higher pollution levels. Values at or below 100 are considered “satisfactory,” while values above 100 are considered “unhealthy.”
Access to safe drinking water is essential to promoting the health of Pennsylvanians and preventing waterborne disease outbreaks.

Between 2010 and 2020, Pennsylvania had 43 waterborne disease outbreaks that resulted in 387 illnesses, 179 hospitalizations, and 18 deaths. Water fluoridation helps prevent tooth decay. In 2020, only 61% of residents were served by optimally fluoridated community water systems.

In 2020, 26% of Pennsylvanians used a private well as their main source of drinking water. Unregulated water systems, such as private wells, are not monitored by the EPA and there are no federal or state requirements for private well water testing, siting, or construction.

Well water testing and treatment can be expensive and may not be consistently performed; there are also potential gaps in knowledge about the importance of testing and how to do it, which may lead to contamination and increased health risks.

Children are especially more vulnerable to consequences of poor environmental public health.

**Childhood lead poisoning** – Children are more likely to be exposed to lead and endure the health consequences that accompany exposure. There are many long-term, irreversible consequences of childhood lead poisoning, including neurological deficits, learning and behavioral problems, hearing and speech problems, and developmental delays.

In 2020, 17.6% of children under the age six (148,432) were tested for lead. Of those, 2.9% had a blood lead level greater than five μg/dL. Non-Hispanic Black (5.7%) and Hispanic (3.4%) children were more likely to have elevated blood lead levels compared to non-Hispanic white children (2.1%). In 2021, CDC lowered the blood lead reference value from 5.0 to 3.5 μg/dL, and in January 2022, the Pennsylvania Department of Health adopted the updated reference value.

**Secondhand smoke among children** – Children exposed to secondhand smoke are at risk of adverse health conditions such as, middle ear infections, respiratory problems, and sudden infant death syndrome. Based on the 2020-2021 National Survey of Children’s Health, in Pennsylvania, 16% of children lived with someone who smoked.

**Environmental health in schools** – Pennsylvania public school code Act 39 of 2018 encourages all schools to test and report elevated lead levels in drinking water to the Pennsylvania Department of Education. While Pennsylvania schools are not required to test for lead in drinking water, schools that opt out of lead testing must facilitate a discussion about lead-based issues facing the school at a public meeting once a year. In 2020, DEP conducted a total of 125,399 radon tests in households, businesses, or schools. Approximately 30% of the tests detected levels of radon exceeding four pCi/L.

Stakeholders discussed the need for mandatory testing and reporting to the state on environmental hazards in schools.
Work is an important social determinant of health.

**Occupational health and safety** – A safe and healthy workplace is important to reduce work-related injuries and accidents, and exposure to harmful substances. In 2019, there were 1,100 work-related pneumoconiosis hospitalizations, 154 fatal injuries, and about 137,000 workers experienced non-fatal work-related injuries and illnesses. Table EH.1 shows the count and rate of occupational injuries and hospitalizations.\(^\text{30}\)

Transportation incidents and contact with objects and equipment accounted for 61% of all workplace fatalities in the state.

In 2021, most of the work-related fatalities were among males (91%) and people who are non-Hispanic white (79%).\(^\text{31}\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Occupational condition</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Non-fatal work-related injuries and illnesses</td>
<td>137,000</td>
<td>3,200 per 100,000 FTEs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work-related injury hospitalizations</td>
<td>4,282</td>
<td>69 per 100,000 employed persons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fatal work-related injuries</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>3 per 100,000 FTEs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pneumoconiosis hospitalizations</td>
<td>1,100</td>
<td>104 per 100,000 FTEs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Increasing greenhouse gas emissions and resulting climate change is an issue of global concern with widespread and devastating consequences.

The greatest climate hazards facing Pennsylvania over the next century are precipitation, flooding, and extreme heat.

Pennsylvania is already experiencing the effects of climate change including increased average annual temperature and more frequent and intense extreme heat events, increased average rainfall, heavier rain events, more frequent drought events, increased tidal flooding in the Delaware Estuary coastal zone, decreased water levels, increased water erosion, and higher water temperatures at Lake Erie.\(^\text{33}\)

More intense rain events with heavy precipitation pose both direct (physical safety risks, limited access to critical services, mental health impacts) and indirect (via economic instability and infrastructure failures) health risks.

Some populations, including the homeless, low-income residents, renters, and communities of color are likely to be disproportionately affected.

In Pennsylvania, the number of heat-related hospitalizations in 2020 decreased to 206, down from 243 in 2019. Over the years, there have been fluctuations in the trend of heat-related hospitalizations, with the lowest recorded in 2000 at 116 hospitalizations, and the highest occurring in 2011 with 387 hospitalizations.\(^\text{34}\) These figures highlight the varying impact of heat-related incidents and the importance of continued efforts to mitigate their effects on public health.
Current regulations and legislation have set the stage for future health improvement opportunities.

No state has met the United Nation's Sustainable Development Goals, and none are currently on track to achieve them by 2030. Pennsylvania ranks 29 among the 50 states in the progress towards achieving all Sustainable Development Goals. These global targets, agreed upon by all 193 member counties for economic, social, and environmental justice, were agreed upon at the national level, but local action is needed for their achievement.\(^\text{36}\)

Per the 2021 Pennsylvania Climate Action Plan, Pennsylvania seeks to reduce greenhouse gases (GHG) 26% by 2025 and 80% by 2050. Strategies to reduce GHG emissions are included in the plan.\(^\text{22}\)

Climate interventions, such as those presented in the Climate Action Plan cannot undo the damage already done, but they can mitigate future hazards while improving resilience in the face of socio-environmental change.

Pennsylvania also has an Emergency Operations Plan Emergency Operations Plan that is prepared, exercised, and maintained by the Pennsylvania Emergency Management Agency and partners in emergency preparedness efforts. It outlines procedures and responsibilities that are employed in event of a disaster or emergency.\(^\text{37}\)

Unconventional oil and natural gas development, commonly known as fracking, are often located near residential areas. Living near fracking sites is cause for concerns of water, air, and soil quality and adverse physical and mental health outcomes.\(^\text{38}\) A voluntary registry for collecting oil and natural gas production-related health concerns gives residents participation in data collection on the impacts of this industry.

This section summarized priority issues related to environmental health and explored:

- Environmental justice
- Built environment hazards and exposures
- Air quality
- Water quality
- Climate change
- Pennsylvania policy landscape for environmental health

Visit these SHA sections for additional context:

- Social Determinants of Health, Equity & Racism
- Assets
References


XII. Assets in Pennsylvania

There are many strengths and opportunities within Pennsylvania, positioning the state to address gaps and barriers to health. Pennsylvania has a strong public health and health care infrastructure, with many successful programs and other resources that can be leveraged to improve health, which is further detailed in this section.

- Throughout the SHA process, public health stakeholders and community residents identified assets and resources at local and state levels.
- Top community strengths cited by poll respondents include parks and recreational spaces, availability of fresh food, good schools, and safety.
- The assets shared here, while not exhaustive, demonstrate many resources in Pennsylvania that can be built upon to support health and improve quality of life.
- It is important to note that these assets may not be equally distributed across the state and throughout all communities. Pennsylvania will strive to distribute and activate assets equitably.
- The below image shows the types of assets identified by stakeholders, and the following page details these assets by category.

Types of Assets Identified by Stakeholders

- Organizations & Services
- Partnerships
- Physical Environment
- Health care
- Government
- Policy
- Education
- Social Environment
Education
- Higher education
- Preschools and childcare
- Public education (K-12)

Government
- Emergency preparedness
- Local government agencies and public health infrastructure *
- State government leadership (i.e. governor, secretary of health)
- State government entities’ focus on underserved populations, collaboration, progressiveness, quality, infrastructure, and diversity within *

Health care
- Academic research centers
- Community health workers *
- Community-led visiting nurse programs
- Federally qualified health centers and other public clinics
- Health care and hospital systems (e.g. quality and supply) *
- Health information exchanges
- Local providers and health care systems (e.g. quality and supply) *
- Managed care organizations
- Medical education (e.g. medical schools, teaching hospitals, health care training programs)
- Mental health services and workforce
- Mobile units
- School-based health centers
- Students in health-related fields
- Telemedicine/telehealth *

Physical Environment
- Affordable housing
- Air quality and monitoring
- Clean fuel Initiatives
- Local agriculture *
- Natural lands
- Natural resources
- Outdoor recreation *
- Potential funding to clear lead from drinking water in schools and day cares
- Transportation
- Walkability

Partnerships
- Coalitions
- Community-based partners *
- Cross-sector collaborations at local, regional, and state levels *
- Resource networks
- Stakeholders

Organizations & Services
- 211 (service linking residents to local resources)
- Advocacy organizations and community activists
- Childcare services (e.g. after-school and early-childhood programs)
- Community-based organizations *
- Evidence-based programs (e.g. availability of and training in)
- Faith-based organizations
- First responders (i.e. EMS, police, fire) and mental health support and training for 1st responders
- Food banks *
- Harm reduction efforts
- Local review boards
- Professional associations (e.g., physician associations)
- Recreation services
- Regional tobacco cessation programs
- Senior centers
- Social services *

Policy
- Affordable Care Act
- Focus on social determinants of health
- Data collection efforts
- Medicaid
- Strategic implementation plans and strategic funding

Social Environment
- Arts and culture *
- Community characteristics (e.g. cohesion, support, stability, permanency, diversity) *
- Engaged residents and leaders
- Schools as community hubs

* Refers to most frequently mentioned assets
This SHA reviews a broad range of indicators of health and conditions to describe health in Pennsylvania and the factors contributing to disparate health outcomes. This SHA explores social determinants of health and health equity and eight health themes:

- Access to Care
- Substance Use
- Chronic Diseases
- Mental Health
- Maternal and Infant Health
- Injury and Violence Prevention
- Immunizations and Infectious Diseases
- Environmental Health

The assessment is the first in a series of steps to improve the health of Pennsylvanians. This SHA is intended to be used to foster discussion, promote ongoing and expanded data analysis, support local health improvement interventions, and inform the SHIP.

The State Health Improvement Plan used these findings to collaboratively select priority health issues and develop intervention strategies to effect change in Pennsylvania.

No single person or organization can address all the health and health equity concerns described in this broad assessment. However, working in partnership, especially by addressing equitable and upstream needs, will lead to necessary change.

Learn more about public health improvement planning, including how to participate, by contacting: RA-SHA@pa.gov

The Pennsylvania Department of Health and the Healthy Pennsylvania Partnership intend for this assessment and the subsequent improvement plan to shape specific, service and system-level actions to improve equity in health conditions and outcomes across Pennsylvania.
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## Appendix A: Leading Causes of Death by Age Group

### Table XII.1. Leading Causes of Death by Age Group, and Number of Deaths, 2020

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>0-4 age group</th>
<th>5-9 age group</th>
<th>10-14 age group</th>
<th>15-19 age group</th>
<th>20-24 age group</th>
<th>25-44 age group</th>
<th>45-64 age group</th>
<th>65+ age group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Perinatal conditions, 381</td>
<td>Accidents, 20</td>
<td>Accidents, 34</td>
<td>Accidents, 127</td>
<td>Accidents, 3,091</td>
<td>Cancer, 6,227</td>
<td>Heart disease, 27,439</td>
<td>Cancer, 21,050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Congenital malformations, 139</td>
<td>Cancer, 19</td>
<td>Suicide, 17</td>
<td>Homicide, 117</td>
<td>Drug-induced deaths, 239</td>
<td>Drug-induced deaths, 2,681</td>
<td>Heart disease, 4,855</td>
<td>Cancer, 21,050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Accidents, 77</td>
<td>Homicide, 10</td>
<td>Cancer, 11</td>
<td>Suicide, 56</td>
<td>Homicide, 161</td>
<td>Suicide, 544</td>
<td>Accidents, 2,680</td>
<td>COVID-19, 14,627</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Homicide, 27</td>
<td>Congenital malformations, ND</td>
<td>Homicide, ND</td>
<td>Drug-induced deaths, 46</td>
<td>Suicide, 122</td>
<td>Cancer, 531</td>
<td>Drug-induced deaths, 1,971</td>
<td>Mental and behavioral disorders, 8,599</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Cancer, 20</td>
<td>Digestive system, ND</td>
<td>Drug-induced deaths, ND</td>
<td>Cancer, 18</td>
<td>Cancer, 31</td>
<td>Heart disease, 523</td>
<td>COVID-19, 1,790</td>
<td>Cerebrovascular diseases, 6,143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Infectious and parasitic diseases, 19</td>
<td>Chronic lower respiratory diseases, ND</td>
<td>Heart disease, ND</td>
<td>Heart disease, 15</td>
<td>Heart disease, 28</td>
<td>Homicide (assault), 500</td>
<td>Digestive system, 1,437</td>
<td>Chronic lower respiratory diseases, 5,232</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Heart disease, 12</td>
<td>Influenza and pneumonia, ND</td>
<td>Congenital malformations, ND</td>
<td>COVID-19, ND</td>
<td>Diabetes mellitus, 11</td>
<td>Digestive system, 244</td>
<td>Diabetes, 942</td>
<td>Alzheimer's disease, 4,493</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Drug-induced deaths, 11</td>
<td>Genitourinary system, ND</td>
<td>Chronic lower respiratory diseases, ND</td>
<td>Epilepsy, ND</td>
<td>Congenital malformations, ND</td>
<td>COVID-19, 167</td>
<td>Atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease, 926</td>
<td>Genitourinary system, 3,548</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Digestive system, 10</td>
<td>Heart disease, ND</td>
<td>Digestive system, ND</td>
<td>Mental and behavioral disorders, ND</td>
<td>COVID-19, ND</td>
<td>Liver disease, chronic and cirrhosis, 132</td>
<td>Chronic lower respiratory diseases, 847</td>
<td>Digestive system, 3,363</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Influenza and pneumonia, ND</td>
<td>Infectious and parasitic diseases, ND</td>
<td>Epilepsy, ND</td>
<td>Chronic lower respiratory diseases, ND</td>
<td>Digestive system, ND</td>
<td>Diabetes mellitus, 123</td>
<td>Liver disease, chronic and cirrhosis, 756</td>
<td>Diabetes mellitus, 3,165</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| All deaths | 870 | 77 | 105 | 408 | 827 | 6,911 | 25,063 | 121,288 |

*ND= Data Not Displayed. When death count is less than 10, data are not displayed.*

## Appendices:

### Leading Causes of Death by Race and Ethnicity

#### Table XII.2. Leading Causes of Death by Race and Ethnicity, and Number of Deaths, 2020

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>White</th>
<th>Black</th>
<th>Asian/Pacific Islander</th>
<th>Multi-Race</th>
<th>Hispanic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Heart diseases, 28,484</td>
<td>Heart disease, 3,584</td>
<td>Cancer, 329</td>
<td>Accidents, 62</td>
<td>COVID-19, 722</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Cancer, 24,326</td>
<td>Cancer, 2,701</td>
<td>COVID-19, 278</td>
<td>Drug-induced deaths, 49</td>
<td>Cancer, 621</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>COVID-19, 13,403</td>
<td>COVID-19, 2,315</td>
<td>Heart disease, 276</td>
<td>Heart disease, 48</td>
<td>Heart disease, 585</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Mental and behavioral disorders, 8,291</td>
<td>Accidents, 1,351</td>
<td>Cerebrovascular diseases, 109</td>
<td>Cancer, 40</td>
<td>Accidents, 583</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Accidents, 7,604</td>
<td>Drug-induced deaths, 955</td>
<td>Mental and behavioral disorders, 67</td>
<td>COVID-19, 35</td>
<td>Drug-induced deaths, 405</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Cerebrovascular diseases, 5,948</td>
<td>Cerebrovascular diseases, 711</td>
<td>Accidents, 62</td>
<td>Diabetes mellitus, 16</td>
<td>Cerebrovascular diseases, 186</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Chronic lower respiratory diseases, 5,563</td>
<td>Homicide (assault), 647</td>
<td>Diabetes mellitus, 50</td>
<td>Homicide (assault), 13</td>
<td>Digestive system, 164</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Digestive system, 4,457</td>
<td>Mental and behavioral disorders, 632</td>
<td>Infectious and parasitic diseases, 44</td>
<td>Mental and behavioral disorders, 13</td>
<td>Diabetes mellitus, 160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Alzheimer's disease, 4,175</td>
<td>Diabetes mellitus, 566</td>
<td>Genitourinary system, 39</td>
<td>Digestive system, 12</td>
<td>Mental and behavioral disorders, 139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Drug-induced deaths, 3,904</td>
<td>Infectious and parasitic diseases, 501</td>
<td>Digestive system, 38</td>
<td>Chronic lower respiratory diseases, 10</td>
<td>Infectious and parasitic diseases, 129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All deaths</td>
<td>133,122</td>
<td>17,102</td>
<td>1,613</td>
<td>353</td>
<td>4,315</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*ND= Data Not Displayed. When death count is less than 10, data are not displayed.*

---
Pennsylvania State Health Assessment Process

The Pennsylvania Department of Health contracted with the Research & Evaluation Group at the Public Health Management Corporation (PHMC) and Bloom Planning and partnered with the HPP to develop this SHA. These stakeholders have participated in identifying key areas of focus and priority issues, selecting and suggesting relevant indicators and data sources, and describing impacts on populations.

This section provides an in-depth description of the methods used to compile the SHA, including:

- Public Health Accreditation Board (PHAB) guidance
- Stakeholder engagement
- Literature review
- Mission, vision, and guiding principles
- Stakeholder assessment
- Public poll
- Indicator selection

Timeline

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>March</th>
<th>April</th>
<th>May - June</th>
<th>July</th>
<th>August - October</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Literature review</td>
<td>Stakeholder meeting 1</td>
<td>8 focus groups</td>
<td>Stakeholder feedback</td>
<td>Stakeholder meeting 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stakeholder assessment</td>
<td>Stakeholder meeting 2</td>
<td>Public poll</td>
<td>Public feedback</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mission, vision &amp; guiding principles survey</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PHAB Guidance

The PHAB Standards and Measures Version 1.5 was reviewed to determine best practices and results that would meet PHAB SHA requirements. The PHAB standards guided the inclusion of clear descriptions of the state’s health with areas of improvement, factors contributing to statewide challenges, and existing resources to addressing such challenges. The standards also directed the appropriate dissemination of findings and outlined the collaborative process. Overall, the PHAB standards guided the SHA process and served as a touchpoint to ensure a comprehensive assessment was performed.
This project utilized the Community Health Assessment Toolkit. This toolkit provides nine steps for conducting a health assessment, illustrated in the image below. Steps one through six were referenced throughout the planning and writing of the SHA report.
Stakeholder Engagement

Guidance from HPP stakeholders was essential to the SHA’s development. At the process outset, the HPP was comprised of 144 partners; this number grew to 227 over the course of the work. These partners were from health care and public health sectors across the state. Stakeholder engagement in the SHA process occurred in three ways:

- **Large stakeholder group:** All participants in the HPP supported the identification of themes, key health issues, indicators, assets, and other useful input.
- **DOH stakeholder group:** This group consisted of department staff who supported the development of the SHA.
- **Project group:** This group consisted of Pennsylvania DOH staff, PHMC project staff, and Bloom Planning advisors. The project group authored the SHA and managed all meetings, data collection, and data analysis.

Large stakeholder group participation is itemized below. Meetings were conducted virtually due to the COVID-19 pandemic. Weekly project meetings occurred with the project group and core stakeholder group to manage project tasks.

**Table XXII.2.** Large Stakeholder Group Participation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stakeholder meeting 1</td>
<td>Kick-off SHA; review mission, vision, guiding principles for the HPP; share literature findings; discuss SHA visuals</td>
<td>4/1/2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stakeholder assessment</td>
<td>Gather input on key health issues, populations made vulnerable, assets &amp; indicator selection criteria</td>
<td>4/6/2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mission, vision &amp; guiding principles survey</td>
<td>Refine mission, vision &amp; guiding principles</td>
<td>5/21-26/2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eight focus groups</td>
<td>Contextualize key themes, health issues, assets, social determinants of health (SDOH) &amp; populations facing challenges</td>
<td>4/28-5/8/2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stakeholder meeting 2</td>
<td>Refine indicators for substance use, mental health, access to care &amp; chronic diseases; establish SDOH &amp; equity as organizing framework</td>
<td>5/27/2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stakeholder meeting 3</td>
<td>Refine indicators for maternal &amp; infant health, injury &amp; violence prevention, environmental health &amp; immunizations, infectious diseases; discuss SDOH &amp; equity as organizing framework</td>
<td>7/29/2020</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Literature Review & Dataset Compilation

The project group completed a literature review of 14 state and local health assessments. A total of eight SHAs were selected based on geographic and demographic similarity to Pennsylvania (Minnesota, Washington, Illinois, Oregon, Ohio, Colorado, Massachusetts, and Vermont). Six Community Health Assessments (CHA) were gathered from local county health departments when available (Allegheny, Chester, Erie, and Philadelphia) and from hospitals conducting Community Health Needs Assessments (CHNAs) when CHAs were unavailable from the local health departments (Wilkes-Barre and Montgomery).

From each report, primary health topic areas or “themes,” key health issues, and indicators were catalogued and compiled into a single dataset, organized by geographic area, report type (i.e., SHA vs. CHA vs. CHNA), and theme category. Themes, key health issues, and indicators were aligned with the national HP2030 topics and objectives.

The 12 most frequently occurring themes to appear across all reports were condensed into nine topics: chronic disease, access to care, maternal and infant health, social determinants of health (SDOH), mental health, injury and violence prevention, environmental health, substance use, and immunizations and infectious diseases. These themes formed the core content of this SHA. Additionally, 1,073 health indicators identified during the literature review were analyzed and grouped into key health issues within each theme. These key health issues provided a foundation for topics to explore in the SHA process.

Mission, Vision & Guiding Principles Development

As part of the 2020 SHA, the project group and the HPP engaged in a visioning process to develop a vision, a mission, and guiding principles. The process included the following steps:

1. The project group aggregated SHA reports from eight states and reviewed the vision, mission, and guiding principles detailed in each report.
2. The project group used example statements from other SHAs and prior internal visioning efforts to create draft vision, mission, and guiding principles.
3. During stakeholder meetings, participants reflected on and suggested refinements to the draft vision content.
4. The project team leveraged meeting participants’ suggestions to develop two versions of the vision, mission, and each guiding principle.
5. The HPP selected a vision, a mission, and guiding principles through a statewide survey of feedback on the two versions.

Stakeholder Assessment

Members of the HPP completed an assessment designed to gather input on pressing public health needs in Pennsylvania. Following the April stakeholder meeting, participants received an email invitation to complete the online assessment via SurveyGizmo. A total of 146 members received the invitation and 77 completed the assessment.

The assessment included open- and close-ended questions on respondents’ occupational affiliation, vision for the SHA report, populations made most vulnerable to health problems, criteria for selecting data to be included in the SHA, local and statewide assets, and prioritizing of health issues within the eight themes and in consideration of the SDOH. Respondents’ feedback was used to prioritize information to include in the SHA.
Focus Groups

Virtual focus groups for each theme were conducted during April and May 2020. SDOH and equity were addressed in each of the focus groups, as a framework through which all themes were reviewed. The focus groups were conducted with 68 stakeholders from across the state representing various nonprofit organizations, government agencies, community organizations, universities, and health care centers and facilities. Prior to each focus group, stakeholders were invited to choose the theme(s) to which they wanted to contribute their expertise. Each focus group gathered input on challenges and barriers experienced by communities across the state related to the theme at hand, resources and assets within communities, and implications of SDOH.

Public Poll

A public poll was conducted to better understand the greatest health needs of Pennsylvania residents and to identify priority health issues for the SHA report. The poll was conducted among volunteers across the state, between May 4, 2020, and May 11, 2020. A total of 2,000 individuals from 66 of Pennsylvania’s 67 counties participated with age, sex, race, and ethnicity of participants balanced to demographics of the state. The poll was conducted online through the SurveyGizmo platform. The poll consisted of mostly close-ended questions related to personal health, health equity, and community health concerns and assets, with one open-ended question included.

Issues and Indicator Selection

Stakeholder assessment data were analyzed to identify respondents’ perceptions of priority health issues within each of the eight themes (maternal and infant health, chronic disease, substance use, access to care, mental health, environmental health, infectious diseases, and injury and violence prevention) plus the overarching framework of SDOH and equity. In the assessment, participants ranked health issues on their perceived degree of importance, from one (not that important; does not need to be addressed immediately) to four (very important; needs attention immediately). Mean scores were calculated for each health issue. Issues with higher mean scores were selected in each theme and considered priority issues (generally, those issues with a mean of 3.5 or higher). Additional health issues were incorporated based on a review of qualitative data from the stakeholder assessment, the public poll, and the focus groups. A total of 47 priority health issues were identified across themes.

From the literature review, corresponding indicators for these health issues were identified. Members of the project group inventoried each indicator by data values, data sources, and availability of national and subgroup data. To establish inclusion priorities, indicators were scored by two independent topic experts across a set of criteria. Criteria included whether the indicator 1) is a leading health indicator for 2020 or 2030, 2) impacts multiple health issues, 3) represents the issue well, 4) is severe or high in magnitude, 5) has trend data available, and 6) can be analyzed by subgroups. Scores from the two raters were averaged, and higher scoring indicators within each health issue were selected. Reviewers’ preliminary indicators were selected for review with stakeholders with consideration of each themes full picture of indicators.

During the second and third large stakeholder meetings, HPP members worked in groups to review the indicators by theme. Participants discussed priority populations, indicator refinement, and potential data sources. Pennsylvania DOH and the PHMC team reviewed stakeholder feedback on indicators, in concert with additional research on the potential for subgroup analysis, to select final indicators for inclusion.


## Appendix D: Data Indicator Index

### Theme Key

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Theme Key</th>
<th>Indicator Code</th>
<th>Theme Key</th>
<th>Indicator Code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Access to Care (AC)</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>Maternal and Infant Health (MIH)</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substance Use (SU)</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>Injury and Violence Prevention (IVP)</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic Disease (CD)</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>Immunizations and Infectious Disease (IID)</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental Health (MH)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Environmental Health (EH)</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Data Indicators (listed in alphabetical order)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Indicator Code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accidents</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air pollution ranking</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air quality index</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol use disorder</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol use, pregnant women</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol use, youth</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alzheimer's disease</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arthritis</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asthma</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Binge drinking</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood lead level</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breastfeeding</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bullied on school property, youth</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Campylobacteriosis</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cancer deaths</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cancer screenings</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiovascular disease</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child maltreatment rate</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlamydia</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colonoscopy</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumption of daily fruits and vegetables</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contraception use</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co-occurring mental health and substance-use disorders</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COPD</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COVID-19</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dentists</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dentists who accept Medicaid</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Domestic violence</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug overdose</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early and adequate prenatal care</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elder abuse</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electronic vapor products, youth</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMTs/paramedics rate</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Justice Areas</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fair or poor general health</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fatal injuries</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Indicator Index</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feeling sad or hopeless, youth</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Felt unsafe at school or going to school, youth</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Firearm sales/transfers</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Firearm-related death rate</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flu shot, older adults</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluoridated drinking water</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gonorrhea</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gun safety laws ranking</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hate crimes</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health professional shortage areas</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart attack</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepatitis B</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepatitis C</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High blood pressure</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV new cases</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homicide rate</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Houses built before 1978</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPV vaccination</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illicit drug use</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immunization</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infant mortality</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inhalants, youth</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intimate partner violence</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kidney Disease</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low birthweight</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lyme disease</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major depressive episodes</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mammogram</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marijuana use</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal mortality</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternity care desert</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measles cases</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental health providers ratio</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mumps cases</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Priorities List (NPL) sites</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neonatal Abstinence Syndrome</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No personal health care provider</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nurse practitioners' rate</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obesity, adults</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opioid use</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opioid among pregnant women</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pertussis cases</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical activity</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor mental health days</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postpartum depression</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-pregnancy anxiety</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-pregnancy depression</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prescription drug use</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preterm birth</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary care physicians in direct practice rate</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private well water use</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proximity to greenspace</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radon</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rape</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Registered nurses’ rate</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safe drinking water</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Indicator</td>
<td>Page</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salmonellosis</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondhand smoke</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe maternal morbidity</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shingles vaccination</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking adults</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking pregnant women</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking youth</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroke</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substance use disorder</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suicide</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suicide attempts, youth</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syphilis</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tuberculosis</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unable to see doctor due to cost</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uninsured rate</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Violent crime</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visited a dentist in the past year</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>